

What's been tried? A catalogue of efforts to improve access to general practice, 1984 to 2023

Carol Sinnott, Evleen Price, Akbar Ansari, Jake Beech,
Rebecca Fisher, Hugh Alderwick, Mary Dixon-Woods

Contents

About this resource	3
How to use this resource	3
1. Appointment innovations	4
1.1 Triage	4
1.2 Telehealth	4
1.3 Restructuring appointment systems	5
1.4 Offering patient contacts that are not appointments	5
2. Direct patient access to services that remove need to access general practice	7
2.1 Community pharmacists	7
2.2 Self-referral pathways	7
3. Increasing the number and range of professionals available to see patients within general practice	8
3.1 Expansion and diversification of skill-mix	8
3.3 Improving GP recruitment and retention	8
4. Offering contacts beyond core hours, core settings and core services	10
4.1 Extended hours services provided by GPs within their own practice or practice network	10
4.2 GP services external to a patient's practice or local practice network	10
4.3 Enhanced services within practices	11
4.4 Expanded or reorganised services within the wider community	11
5. Supporting patient engagement, empowerment, and education	12
5.1 Educational initiatives targeting patients	12
5.2 Digital resources for patients	12
5.3 Practice-level interventions or interventions targeting practice staff and professional behaviour	13
5.4 System-level interventions targeted at patients	14
5.4.1 Offering patients broader choices of GP practice at which to register	14
5.4.2 Reducing co-payments	14
5.4.3 Publishing practice performance data publicly	14
6. Supporting the internal and wider structures of general practice	16
6.1 Making existing processes in practices more efficient	16
6.2 Reducing the burden of bureaucracy in general practices	16
6.3 Interventions to ensure general practices at high risk of closing stay open	17
6.4 Financial mechanisms for improving access	17
6.5 Contracting and commissioning to shape provider markets	18
6.6 Changes to the scale or model of general practice (not otherwise included)	18
References	19

About this resource

The purpose of this resource is to catalogue and categorise attempts to improve access to general practice with a view to informing future improvement efforts. The list includes interventions that have already been tried, are ongoing or have been proposed, and categorises them according to how they are intended to improve access to general practice.

How to use this resource

The interventions in this resource are grouped in 6 overarching categories and 22 subcategories. A description of how the interventions might improve access to general practice and examples for each subcategory are provided.

Click on the category or intervention in the contents list to go directly to that section of this document. You can find out more about each example of an intervention by using the references provided. Clicking on the in-text references (superscript numbers) will take you directly to the specific reference(s) for each example.

You can return to the contents list by clicking on 'Return to contents list' at the bottom of each page.

1. Appointment innovations

1.1 Triage

Triage refers to the process of choosing the most appropriate time, mode of consultation (eg in-person or remote) and health care professional (eg GP, nurse or other health care professional) to manage each patient's request according to clinical need.

How is this expected to improve access to general practice?

Triage responds to the increasing imbalance between patient demand and availability of appointments by ensuring that the patients with the most urgent needs are dealt with first, and patients are allocated to health care professionals best placed to address their need.

Examples of options

- **Telephone triage** performed by clinical staff (eg GP, nurse, paramedic or pharmacist),¹⁻¹⁴ on all patient requests for an appointment or for condition-specific reviews.¹⁵ May or may not be supported by computer decision-support software.¹⁶
- **Digital triage** via online consultation systems which use automated triage algorithms, structured questionnaires or free-text submissions with clinician review. Responses may include signposting to self-care or other resources, online messages, telephone call-backs or immediate offers of appointments.¹⁷⁻³⁶

1.2 Telehealth

Telehealth refers to provision of health care services remotely using audio and video technology rather than face-to-face care.

How is this expected to improve access to general practice?

As remote consultations can be shorter than face-to-face consultations, telehealth has the potential to release capacity for appointments within a practice system. Telehealth can also improve convenience and access to healthcare for patients with restricted ability to attend in person. Telehealth systems also support triage.

Examples of options

- **Telephone consultations** by GPs or other clinical staff, such as nurses or Physician Associates.^{5 26 37-58}
- **Video consultations** which give some limited visual information on patients' physical appearance and environment.⁵⁹⁻⁶⁶
- **Resources to aid telehealth** include 'drop boxes' to facilitate the measurement of vital signs,⁶⁷ protocolisation and scripts for remote consultations,⁶⁸ video-conferencing 'booths',⁶⁶⁹ using photos during remote care e.g. tele-dermatology,⁷⁰ and offering simplified or supported processes for those with language difficulties or capacity issues.^{71 72}

1.3 Restructuring appointment systems

Interventions that aim to change the ways that appointments are allocated, organised and scheduled, but are distinct from triage and telehealth.

How is this expected to improve access to general practice?

These programmes are intended to improve access through matching capacity to demand and managing demand by offering different types of access (acute versus pre-booked), different types of appointments and different means of booking appointments.

Examples of options

- **Appointment scheduling interventions** which prioritise same day appointments or other appointment targets and limit how far ahead appointments can be booked to prevent long waiting times (eg, as implemented as part of the 'Advanced Access' initiative).⁷³⁻⁸⁶
- **Appointment segmentation:** splitting access into appointments for urgent/acute care versus pre-bookable appointments for patients with routine, non-acute or long-term conditions or who require follow-up.⁸⁷⁻⁹³
- **Restructuring consultation lengths** to ensure sufficient time is available for patients with language or communication difficulties, disabilities or complex needs.^{89 94-109}
- **Appointment mapping** to help practices understand demand for and use of appointments and determine the resources required to meet demand.¹¹⁰⁻¹¹⁵
- **Group consultations** which bring together up to 12 patients for up to 90 minutes at one time to support shared learning about management of specific conditions.¹¹⁶⁻¹²³
- **Establishing condition-specific clinics** within a practice eg for screening or prevention.^{124 125}
- **Direct booking of appointments** by patients via apps, online tools or SMS messaging.¹²⁶⁻¹²⁸
- **Strategies to reduce DNAs** including texting appointment reminders to patients who persistently fail to attend or reducing forward booking time.^{92 129 130}

1.4 Offering patient contacts that are not appointments

Offering patients a means of accessing health information which does not involve synchronous interaction with a health care professional.

How is this expected to improve access to general practice?

These interventions might reduce the need for appointments with health care staff while still giving patients access to needed or beneficial information and support.

Examples of options

- **Asynchronous email** communication between patient and practice.¹³¹⁻¹³⁹
- **Online patient access portals** that allow patients to order repeat prescriptions, book appointments and get health advice.^{21 140-143} **Overlaps with digital triage**
- **Electronic access to own electronic health records** including test results, prescriptions and correspondence between professionals.^{19 135 144-158}
- **SMS messaging** to notify specific patients of results,¹⁵⁹ alert patients to overdue screening tests,^{126 160} prompt medical compliance and attendance at appointments,¹⁶¹

share links to health information,²⁶ facilitate disease management^{129 162} and support behaviour change,¹⁶³ including use of multilingual resources.¹⁶⁴ **Overlaps with technology-supported self-care**

- **Targeted written and phone communication** from practices to selected high-risk patients on symptoms that warrant attention¹⁶⁵⁻¹⁶⁷ and to prompt attendance for preventative care and vaccinations.^{168 169}

2. Direct patient access to services that remove need to access general practice

2.1 Community pharmacists

Enhanced role for NHS community pharmacists to treat minor illnesses and dispense certain medication without a prescription.

How is this expected to improve access to general practice?

Enhancing the medical care available to patients in community pharmacies may reduce their need to attend general practice and increase capacity for other patients.

Examples of options

- **Community pharmacists'** provision of blood pressure checks, oral contraceptives reviews, 'pharmacy first' treatment of minor illness, vaccinations, smoking cessation and urgent repeat medicines supply.^{10 170-172} Consultations with community pharmacists may be delivered in person, on the phone or via video¹⁷³⁻¹⁷⁷ and can be augmented by pharmacy-based private GP video consultation services.¹⁷⁸

2.2 Self-referral pathways

Patients can self-refer to certain services without needing GP input.

How is this expected to improve access to general practice?

Self-referral allows patients to bypass general practice to get the care that they feel they need directly, reducing demand for GP appointments. Allied health care professionals in the community might also alert a patient to the need to attend a GP thereby supporting the patients' claim to access.

Examples of options

- **Counselling and talking therapies** eg Improving Access to Psychological Therapies (IAPT) programme.¹⁷⁹
- **Direct access to physiotherapy/musculoskeletal first contact practitioners**^{171 180-189}
- **Other direct access services** include: drug and alcohol addiction, smoking cessation, sexual health, physical activity, urgent and minor eye care, audiology, weight management, community podiatry, wheelchair and assisted-living equipment.¹⁰

3. Increasing the number and range of professionals available to see patients within general practice

3.1 Expansion and diversification of skill-mix

These interventions relate to the employment of additional staff, including allied health professionals and non-clinical roles to contribute to the delivery and organisation of care.

How is this expected to improve access to general practice?

Employing a wider range of professionals in general practice to see, treat and support patients has been hypothesised to increase capacity through offering more appointments, allowing redistribution of tasks that would have previously required GP attention and reducing the demand for GP appointments.

Examples of options

- **Nurse-led care** for minor injuries, illnesses, and long-term conditions¹⁹⁰⁻²⁰⁷ as well as nurse-supported consultations.¹⁹⁵
- **Physician associates**²⁰⁸⁻²¹² who work alongside doctors providing medical care as an integral part of the multi-disciplinary team. Physician associates can work autonomously, but always under the supervision of a fully trained and experienced doctor. PAs are trained to do clinical duties such as taking medical histories, carrying out physical examinations, and developing and delivering treatment and management plans.
- **Pharmacists** in practices to triage, review medications, update medical records, action incoming correspondence and treat patients.²¹³⁻²²¹
- **Social prescribers, link workers, health and wellbeing coaches, patient navigators, care coordinators and lay health workers** to provide advice on community services, reinforce patient education, support navigation of treatment processes and raise awareness of wider services on offer, including for non-clinical needs.²²²⁻²²⁸
- **Paramedics**^{189 229 230} taking on triage and assessment of patients and supporting management of patients with minor injuries or illness, or who require home visiting.
- Practice-based **mental-health staff**²³¹ and **social workers**.²³²
- **General practice assistants** to carry out administrative tasks and basic clinical duties which can free up GPs' time and contribute to the smooth running of appointments.²³³
- Introduction of **specialty and associate specialist (SAS) doctors** to practise in general practice.²³⁴
- **Training** to develop the skills of existing practice staff such as nurses and receptionists.^{10 141 235}

3.3 Improving GP recruitment and retention

Programmes to enhance the capacity of the GP workforce to meet the demand for GP services.

How is this expected to improve access to general practice?

Increasing and maintaining the number of GPs available to consult with patients is a core feature of campaigns to improve access to general practice. Adequate staffing ensures sufficient capacity for patient appointments while also facilitating continuity of care.

Examples of options

- **Encouraging medical students** towards future GP careers (eg RCGP ‘Choose GP’ campaign)^{236 237} and increasing the exposure of doctors in training to general practice early in their careers.²³⁸
- **Salary supplements**, including relocation and incentive payments to attract GP trainees to under-served areas where GP training places are unfilled.²³⁹⁻²⁴⁴
- **Post-training fellowships** designed to facilitate GPs to attain special skills.²⁴⁵⁻²⁴⁷
- **International recruitment** of GPs to work in the UK.²⁴⁸⁻²⁵⁰
- **Return to Practice programmes** to support GPs who have been out of practice for over 2 years to return to practice.²⁵¹⁻²⁵³
- **Mentorship programmes** to support mentors of newly qualified GPs.²⁵⁴⁻²⁵⁶
- **Partnership incentives** to support GPs to commit to partnership roles in practices.²⁵⁷⁻²⁵⁹
- **GP Retainer programme** to provide GPs who might otherwise leave practice with flexibility, financial and educational supports to facilitate them to remain in practice.^{260 261}
- **Addressing indemnity costs** for GPs to help retention.²⁶²
- **Services to support GPs with burnout.**¹⁴¹
- **Changing pension rules** as an incentive to keep older GPs in practice.²⁶³
- **Loan forgiveness programmes** to encourage retention of doctors to their training programmes.²⁶⁴

4. Offering contacts beyond core hours, core settings and core services

4.1 Extended hours services provided by GPs within their own practice or practice network

Care is provided by GPs beyond standard clinic hours – after 18.30 on weekday evenings and at weekends.

How is this expected to improve access to general practice?

Extended hours provide more options for getting an appointment and are useful for patients for whom it is not convenient to attend a GP during standard office hours.

Examples of options

- **Longer opening hours and weekend opening** within individual practices or by networks of practices within local access hubs.^{33 265-281}

4.2 GP services external to a patient's practice or local practice network

Services that provide out-of-hours or acute care for patients who cannot or choose not to use their own practice.

How is this expected to improve access to general practice?

These services respond to care needs that arise outside of standard opening hours or cannot be met or secured within standard opening hours in a way that is convenient for the patient. These services can address the need directly, signal where the patient's needs will be best met, or can encourage patients to attend their own practice thereby supporting the patient's claim to access in their own practice.

Examples of options

- **Single number access** to urgent and out-of-hours advice and care from clinical or trained non-clinical responders, eg NHS Direct or 111. Can triage patients to acute services, regular GP services or community services such as pharmacies.²⁸²⁻²⁹⁵ Regional extensions to NHS 111 include NHS 111 option 2 which provides dedicated telephone support for acute mental illness in the East of England.²⁹⁶⁻²⁹⁸ Online variations described in [online advice tools](#).
- **Walk-in centres, urgent care clinics, minor illness clinics, out-of-hours cooperatives staffed by local GPs or deputising commercial providers.**^{284 288 290 299-316} Variations on these services include remote care for out-of-hours services,³¹⁷ locations to cater for specific cohorts of the population eg commuters,^{318 319} or care led by allied health care professionals.^{320 321}
- **Co-location of primary care services with Emergency Departments (EDs)**, either as GPs embedded within EDs, co-located in EDs as urgent care centres, or in adjacent GP out-of-hours clinics.³²²⁻³²⁴

4.3 Enhanced services within practices

Contracts that incentivise practices to improve access for specific populations or under-served areas.

How is this expected to improve access to general practice?

More comprehensive resourcing and remuneration allows practices to add to and sustain the services they offer, with expected benefits for specific groups.

Examples of options

- **Additional direct or localised enhanced services** which GP services can sign up to that increase access for specific groups of patients. Includes vaccination programmes, NHS health check schemes, and enhanced services for patients with alcoholism, learning disabilities, palliative/end of life needs, conditions covered by the Quality Outcomes Framework, Proactive Care Programme and so on.³²⁵⁻³³² These services can be provided at practice or primary care network level³³³ and may be supported by recall/reminder systems for the patients involved.

4.4 Expanded or reorganised services within the wider community

Community-based health care professionals who provide care for people outside of practices in the community, including in their own homes.

How is this expected to improve access to general practice?

These services facilitate access to medical care for people who cannot attend a GP in a conventional way or have needs that are best met in their homes. These services may reduce demand on GP services, increase appointment capacity for other patients and potentially reduce inequalities in access.

Examples of options

- **Services supporting home-based care for patients** ie paramedics, network home visit teams, admissions avoidance teams, health visitors, community and district nurses, and social care workers.³³⁴⁻³³⁸
- **Dedicated clinical support for care home residents** provided at the level of primary care networks.³³⁹⁻³⁴²
- **Outreach clinics** that proactively offer general practice care to marginalised populations in the community, such as people who are homeless or recent migrants.³⁴³

5. Supporting patient engagement, empowerment, and education

5.1 Educational initiatives targeting patients

Interventions intended to support patient understanding, navigation, engagement and self-care.

How is this expected to improve access to general practice?

These approaches aim to reduce demands on general practice by promoting awareness of the services available and how to access the most appropriate services to meet one's needs; supporting patients to cope with illness without the support of a health care provider where appropriate; and facilitating better use of consultations that do take place, including improved awareness of how to communicate needs.

Examples of options

- **National media campaigns** that encourage patient understanding of when to seek care and who to seek care from, including services other than their general practice if appropriate (eg NHS Choices, NHS Choose-well campaign).^{33 344-348}
- **Targeted communication schemes** (eg The General Practice Access Routes Campaign), e-directories and information packs to help patients understand their local services, means of contacting their practice and other services, and how their practice will respond, including multilingual and translated resources.³⁴⁹⁻³⁵³
- **Digital facilitation programmes and skills courses** that seek to support patients in the uptake and use of web-based services and teach patients how to navigate online NHS systems, including links to key services, points of access, and how to best use smartphones/internet to find accurate health information.³⁵⁴⁻³⁵⁶
- **Multilingual and culturally sensitive** versions of health education and health protection materials.^{125 164}
- **Resources for patients** to use before and/or take away after their consultations (eg consultation planners, video recordings of consultations, patient information leaflets and so on).³⁵⁷⁻³⁶¹
- **Campaigns supporting and promoting registration with practices and uptake of practices' services** to patients with disabilities^{362 363} or patients who are homeless, migrant or otherwise at risk of social exclusion.^{72 364}
- **Self-management resources** and courses for patients with specific long-term health conditions which include information on when to seek care from general practice, provide links to more urgent support, and share useful resources and general information on their conditions.³⁶⁵⁻³⁷⁰
- **Telephone announcements** (on-hold messages) encouraging self-management for specific conditions for patients phoning the practice.³⁷¹

5.2 Digital resources for patients

Online and technology-supported advice and information for patients.

How is this expected to improve access to general practice?

These resources are available 24/7 and may remove the need for GP care and release capacity for other patients. They can empower patients to self-care for minor illnesses and encourage and facilitate patients to seek care when it might be indicated, helping to ensure they get the right care at the right time in the right place.

Examples of options

- **Online advice tools** and AI-supported symptom checkers which use systemised pathways to lead patients to information relevant to their health needs. Some NHS practices embed these symptom checkers within their practice websites.³⁷²⁻³⁷⁵ Interactive health information has also been made available through digital television platforms and public touch-screen kiosks.^{348 352 376} **Overlaps with digital triage.**
- **Technology-supported patient education** and behavioural modification without ongoing involvement of health care professionals such as exercise and movement apps, smoking cessation apps, and pelvic floor training apps.^{377 378}
- **Technology-supported self-care** such as wearables and mobile phone-supported self-monitoring of long-term conditions including automated advice on when further actions should be taken.^{162 375 379 380}
- **Online support groups** for patients intended to provide peer support for specific health conditions.³⁸¹⁻³⁸⁴

5.3 Practice-level interventions or interventions targeting practice staff and professional behaviour

These interventions aim to improve a practice's capacity to better support patient engagement, education and self-care.

How is this expected to improve access to general practice?

Supporting patients to use general practice and to communicate their needs and engage in shared decision making during consultations might promote more patient-centred care, higher levels of patient satisfaction with the consultations they secure, less failure demand,³⁸⁵ and better use of appointments.

Examples of options

- **Making registration processes easier**, especially for people with low health literacy, or who are homeless, migrant or otherwise marginalised. Interventions may include receptionist training in registration of migrants, practices reaching out to non-attenders and open-access clinics that do not require prior registration.^{72 93 343 364 386-389}
- **Improving links between practices and local transport options** including volunteer transport schemes, partnerships with local taxi firms and mobility scooter charging points, and providing appointment flexibility to accommodate attendance around these transport options for older patients in remote settings.^{265 266 390-392}
- **Technology to support communication during consultations** including video interpretation for deaf users,³⁹³ pictographic symbols, bilingual text and digitised speech for migrants,³⁹⁴ and interactive treatment videos to inform patients about treatment choices.³⁹⁵

- **Translation services** for patients (ie British Sign Language (BSL)/foreign language) either online or in person.³⁹⁶⁻³⁹⁹
- **Technology to facilitate translation of notifications** from a practice into the patient's language, including SMS, targeted digital communications and YouTube videos to deliver health advice to non-native speakers or migrants.⁴⁰⁰
- **Resources to support health care professionals to better engage patients** in their own care,^{11 401-404} including interventions that support staff in the management of particular patient groups such as those with learning disabilities.^{10 402}
- **Shared decision-making tools** to improve people's engagement in and satisfaction with care decisions.⁴⁰⁵⁻⁴¹⁰
- **Professional interventions addressing consultation skills** and tailoring care to individual patients' needs.⁴¹¹⁻⁴¹⁵
- **Care navigation training** for receptionists and triage staff.¹⁰

5.4 System-level interventions targeted at patients

5.4.1 Offering patients broader choices of GP practice at which to register

How is this expected to improve access to general practice?

These interventions are intended to improve access by increasing the choice of practices available to patients. They permit practices to register patients who live outside their practice area but who may work locally or have other reasons for wanting to access care at that location.

Examples of options

- **Choice of GP/out-of-area registrations programme** which allows GP practices to register patients who live outside their practice area.⁴¹⁶⁻⁴¹⁸
- **Video consultation services** which provide primary care services based predominantly on offering video consultations via smartphones.^{419 420}

5.4.2 Reducing co-payments

How is this expected to improve access to general practice?

Co-payments are a barrier to access for some patients, deterring them from seeking general practice care even if the co-payment does not relate to the consultation itself.

Examples of options

- **Reducing co-payments** and out-of-pocket costs for medicines on a means-tested or age basis.^{125 421}

5.4.3 Publishing practice performance data publicly

How is this expected to improve access to general practice?

Patients who have access to reliable information on GP practices might be able to make better decisions about which practice is most likely to meet their needs and offers the level

of access they want. This approach also potentially incentivises improvements in access processes within practices and other changes in provider behaviours.

Examples of options

- Up-to-date online information on **practices' appointment activity, capacity and waiting times**; opening times; accessibility; whether open for new patients; and whether a practice accepts out-of-area registrations.^{263 422 423}
- Practices reporting performance against **key quality indicators including patient experience** and patient-reported satisfaction.⁴²⁴

6. Supporting the internal and wider structures of general practice

6.1 Making existing processes in practices more efficient

Redesigning processes in practices to ensure more efficient workload management

How is this expected to improve access to general practice?

Improving the efficiency of practice workflow might increase capacity to meet patient demands for access or directly reduce demand through faster actioning of tasks and patient requests.

Examples of options

- **Practice workload, workflow assessment and improvement programmes** (eg Time for Care, Accelerate, General Practice Improvement Programme).^{113 424-427}
- **Training for clinicians and managers to support practice redesign**,¹⁴¹ and on how to be **good employers**.²³⁵
- **Automation and artificial intelligence** to support administrative tasks, clinical decision making and care management (eg symptom assessment, automated clinical coding, triaging and personalised self-management), and proactive detection (eg analysing patient records to predict patients that might benefit from prevention or assessment of undiagnosed conditions or identify vulnerable groups).^{375 428-430}

6.2 Reducing the burden of bureaucracy in general practices

Strategies to reduce bureaucratic and administrative burdens in general practice.

How is this expected to improve access to general practice?

Less bureaucracy should result in more primary care time freed up for appointments with patients therefore improving access for all.

Examples of options

- Solutions aimed at **streamlining communication between primary and secondary care** including secondary care onward referrals, fit notes and discharge letters, and clear points of contact for patients with queries about their position on waiting lists.^{431 432}
- **Principles for government departments** to support a move away from seeking information on people from general practice to alternative pathways for gathering evidence where possible.⁴³³
- **Reducing bureaucracy associated with payment and regulation processes**.^{141 235}
- **Limiting bureaucracy** for new entrants wanting to provide primary care in under-doctored areas.³⁸⁹

6.3 Interventions to ensure general practices at high risk of closing stay open

Strategies are implemented to ensure that general practices at risk of closure from financial, leadership or governance problems can stay open.

How is this expected to improve access to general practice?

Closure of practices potentially disrupts patients and their care. Instigating measures to protect practices safeguards access to some degree.

Examples of options

- **Horizontal integration** and mergers between struggling practices and other local practices.^{235 434-436}
- **Financial support specifically for struggling practices** including to help develop their workforce, tackle workload and redesign care.¹⁴¹
- **Simplification of ‘closed list’ procedures** to offer practices the flexibility they need to manage short or longer term capacity issues.⁴²²
- **Vertical integration** of general practices with hospital trusts and secondary care.⁴³⁷
- **Allowing commercial organisations, charities or other non-standard primary medical service providers to bid** for primary care contracts under ‘Alternative Provider Medical Services’ arrangements. Includes situations where existing practices cannot be sustained by previous GPs.⁴³⁸⁻⁴⁴¹

6.4 Financial mechanisms for improving access

National and regional funding to support investment in practices and practice infrastructure.

How is this expected to improve access to general practice?

Supporting investment into practices and practice infrastructure might enable practices to better meet their patients’ access needs.

Examples of options

- Changes to **area-based primary care allocation formulae**.²⁴¹
- **Financial incentives for specific activities** eg Winter Access Fund 2021/22 or the Access Improvement Programme to drive improved access to urgent, same-day primary care.^{333 424}
- **Financial incentives for practices that invest in resources** like digital telephony, triage and communication tools.¹⁰ **Overlaps with triage and telehealth.**
- Linking indicators on access, for example from surveys, and quality improvement activity related to access to **pay-for-performance schemes**.^{333 389 424 442}
- **Capital investment** through programmes like cost-rent, improvement grants, public–private partnerships, local improvement finance trusts⁴⁴³ and national infrastructure, estates and technology funds to support development of new buildings, primary care centres, IT, and disability access.^{87 141 352 376 391 444}

6.5 Contracting and commissioning to shape provider markets

Changes in the processes used to plan, purchase and monitor general practice.

How is this expected to improve access to general practice?

Changes in commissioning processes can be used to support national policies intended to improve access.

Examples of options

- Permitting and encouraging a **wider range of primary care providers into the market to increase provision or drive competition for improvement.**^{376 445 446}
- **Changes to commissioning powers that allow greater flexibility for commissioning local primary care services.** Includes new options for commissioning out-of-hours provision or care for asylum seekers, refugees, persons who are homeless and prison leavers. Alternative contract types like APMS or PMS contracts can also address equity of GP distribution or achieve other aims.^{376 445 446}
- Contract changes to **allow money to better follow the patient** with a view to incentivising improvements in providers' access processes.^{389 422}
- Requiring newly commissioned services to be delivered **close to public transport services.**⁴⁴⁷
- **Mandating expansion of general practice services** through commissioning bodies, including the development of new practices in the areas with the poorest provision.^{389 422 445}
- **Abolishing centralised systems of entry regulation** to primary care provision.⁴⁴⁸
- **Changes to local authority planning guidance** to raise the priority of primary care facilities when considering how funds from new housing developments are allocated.¹⁰

6.6 Changes to the scale or model of general practice (not otherwise included)

Collaboration between multiple GP practices or between GP practices and other providers with the aim of a collective benefit.

How is this expected to improve access to general practice?

New models of care and working at scale are intended to improve practice capacity, diversify skill mix, offer new ways of working and deliver economies of scale, resulting in better access.

Examples of options

- **Providing GP services within different models of care** such as polyclinics,⁴⁴⁹ primary care centres and multispecialty community providers⁴²³ and hospital-owned GP surgeries with registered lists.⁴⁵⁰ Overlaps with **horizontal** and **vertical** integration.
- **Practices working at scale** in primary care networks³³³ or as federations or super-practices.^{141 423 434}

References

1. Newbould J, Abel G, Ball S, et al. Evaluation of telephone first approach to demand management in English general practice: observational study. *BMJ* 2017;358:j4197. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.j4197>
2. Saunders CL, Gkousis E. Impact of telephone triage on access to primary care for people living with multiple long-term health conditions: rapid evaluation. *Health and Social Care Delivery Research* 2022;10:18. doi: 10.3310/UCCE5549
3. Royal College of General Practitioners. *The future role of remote consultations and patient 'triage'*. London: Royal College of General Practitioners; 2021.
4. Murdoch J, Varley A, Fletcher E, et al. Implementing telephone triage in general practice: a process evaluation of a cluster randomised controlled trial. *BMC Family Practice* 2015;16(47). doi: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12875-015-0263-4>
5. Bunn F, Byrne G, Kendall S. The effects of telephone consultation and triage on healthcare use and patient satisfaction: a systematic review. *Br J Gen Pract* 2005;55(521):956-61.
6. Lawless M, Wright E, Davidson J. A collaborative approach to improving patient access in general practice: impact of three different pilot schemes in 12 general practices in Greenwich. *London J Prim Care (Abingdon)* 2016;8(4):56-65. doi: [10.1080/17571472.2016.1173946](https://doi.org/10.1080/17571472.2016.1173946)
7. Slack K. Practice with a difference! *Br J Gen Pract* 2015;65(640):593. doi: [10.3399/bjgp15X687445](https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp15X687445)
8. Miller D, Loftus AM, O'Boyle PJ, et al. Impact of a telephone-first consultation system in general practice. *Postgraduate Medical Journal* 2019;95(1129):590-95. doi: [10.1136/postgradmedj-2019-136557](https://doi.org/10.1136/postgradmedj-2019-136557)
9. Ismail SA, Gibbons DC, Gnani S. Reducing inappropriate accident and emergency department attendances: a systematic review of primary care service interventions. *Br J Gen Pract* 2013;63(617):e813-20. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.3399/bjgp13X675395>
10. NHS England. *Delivery plan for recovering access to primary care*. NHS England; 2023
11. NHS England. *Improving access for all: reducing inequalities in access to general practice services*. NHS England; 2017.
12. Richards DA, Meakins J, Tawfik J, et al. Nurse telephone triage for same day appointments in general practice: multiple interrupted time series trial of effect on workload and costs. *BMJ* 2002;325:1214. doi: [10.1136/bmj.325.7374.1214](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.325.7374.1214)
13. Campbell JL, Fletcher E, Britten N, et al. Telephone triage for management of same-day consultation requests in general practice (the ESTEEM trial): a cluster-randomised controlled trial and cost-consequence analysis. *The Lancet* 2014;384(9957):1859-68. doi: [10.1016/S0140-6736\(14\)61058-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(14)61058-8)
14. Charles-Jones H, May C, Latimer J, et al. Telephone triage by nurses in primary care: what is it for and what are the consequences likely to be? *J Health Serv Res Policy* 2003;8(3):154-9. doi: [10.1258/135581903322029502](https://doi.org/10.1258/135581903322029502)
15. Gruffydd-Jones K, Hollinghurst S, Ward S, et al. Targeted routine asthma care in general practice using telephone triage. *Br J Gen Pract* 2005;55(521):918-23.
16. Murdoch J, Barnes R, Pooler J, et al. The impact of using computer decision-support software in primary care nurse-led telephone triage: interactional dilemmas and conversational consequences. *Social Science & Medicine* 2015;126:36-47. doi: [10.1016/j.socscimed.2014.12.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2014.12.013)
17. Clarke G, Dias A, Wolters A. *Access to and delivery of general practice services: A study of patients at practices using digital and online tools*. The Health Foundation; 2022.
18. Banks J, Farr M, Salisbury C, et al. Use of an electronic consultation system in primary care: a qualitative interview study. *Br J Gen Pract* 2018;68(666):e1-e8. doi: [10.3399/bjgp17X693509](https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp17X693509)

19. Turner A, Morris R, Rakhra D, et al. Unintended consequences of online consultations: a qualitative study in UK primary care. *Br J Gen Pract* 2022;72(715):e128-e37. doi: [10.3399/BJGP.2021.0426](https://doi.org/10.3399/BJGP.2021.0426)
20. Rodgers M, Raine G, Thomas S, et al. Informing NHS policy in 'digital-first primary care': a rapid evidence synthesis. *Health Services and Delivery Research* 2019;7:41. doi: [10.3310/hsdr07410](https://doi.org/10.3310/hsdr07410)
21. Deloitte Centre for Health Solutions. *Realising digital-first primary care: Shaping the future of UK healthcare*. Deloitte Centre for Health Solutions; 2020. <https://www2.deloitte.com/content/dam/Deloitte/uk/Documents/life-sciences-health-care/deloitte-uk-shaping-the-future-of-uk-healthcare-feb-2020.pdf>
22. Smart C, Newman C, Hartill L, et al. Workload effects of online consultation implementation from a Job-Characteristics Model perspective: a qualitative study. *BJGP Open* 2023;7(1). doi: [10.3399/BJGPO.2022.0024](https://doi.org/10.3399/BJGPO.2022.0024)
23. Willman AS. Evaluation of eConsult use by Defence Primary Healthcare primary care clinicians using a mixed-method approach. *BMJ Mil Health* 2023;169(e1):e39-e43. doi: [10.1136/bmjmilitary-2020-001660](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjmilitary-2020-001660)
24. Carter M, Fletcher E, Sansom A, et al. Feasibility, acceptability and effectiveness of an online alternative to face-to-face consultation in general practice: a mixed-methods study of webGP in six Devon practices. *BMJ Open* 2018;8(2):e018688. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2017-018688>
25. Leung K, Qureshi S. Managing high frequency users of an electronic consultation system in primary care: a quality improvement project. *BMJ Open Qual* 2021;10(2):06. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjocq-2020-001310>
26. Murphy M, Scott LJ, Salisbury C, et al. Implementation of remote consulting in UK primary care following the COVID-19 pandemic: a mixed-methods longitudinal study. *Br J Gen Pract* 2021;71(704):e166-e77. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.3399/BJGP.2020.0948>
27. Kittler AF, Carlson GL, Harris C, et al. Primary care physician attitudes towards using a secure web-based portal designed to facilitate electronic communication with patients. *Informatics in Primary Care* 2004;12(3):129-38. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.14236/jhi.v12i3.118>
28. Casey M, Shaw S, Swinglehurst D. Experiences with online consultation systems in primary care: case study of one early adopter site. *Br J Gen Pract* 2017;67(664):e736-e43. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.3399/bjgp17X693137>
29. Cowie J, Calvey E, Bowers G, et al. Evaluation of a Digital Consultation and Self-Care Advice Tool in Primary Care: A Multi-Methods Study. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2018;15(5):02. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijerph15050896>
30. Edwards HB, Marques E, Hollingworth W, et al. Use of a primary care online consultation system, by whom, when and why: evaluation of a pilot observational study in 36 general practices in South West England. *BMJ Open* 2017;7(11):e016901. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2017-016901>
31. Farr M, Banks J, Edwards HB, et al. Implementing online consultations in primary care: a mixed-method evaluation extending normalisation process theory through service co-production. *BMJ Open* 2018;8(3):e019966. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2017-019966>
32. Baines R, Tredinnick-Rowe J, Jones R, et al. Barriers and Enablers in Implementing Electronic Consultations in Primary Care: Scoping Review. *J Med Internet Res* 2020;22(11):e19375. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.2196/19375>
33. Tan S, Mays N. Impact of initiatives to improve access to, and choice of, primary and urgent care in England: a systematic review. *Health Policy* 2014;118(3):304-15. doi: [10.1016/j.healthpol.2014.07.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthpol.2014.07.011)
34. NHS England. Online consultations - GP and practice team engagement [webpage]. 2018. <https://www.engage.england.nhs.uk/consultation/gp-and-practice-team-engagement/>

35. Salisbury C, Murphy M, Duncan P. The Impact of Digital-First Consultations on Workload in General Practice: Modeling Study. *Journal of Medical Internet Research* 2020;22(6):e18203. doi: [10.2196/18203](https://doi.org/10.2196/18203)
36. Eccles A, Hopper M, Turk A, et al. Patient use of an online triage platform: a mixed-methods retrospective exploration in UK primary care. *Br J Gen Pract* 2019;69(682):e336-e44. doi: [10.3399/bjgp19X702197](https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp19X702197)
37. Hoskin J, Agarwal R. Remote consultations for physician associates in primary care: qualitative feedback from a regional cohort study. *Future Healthc J* 2021;8(3):e729-e33. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.7861/fhj.2021-0023>
38. Downes MJ, Mervin MC, Byrnes JM, et al. Telephone consultations for general practice: a systematic review. *Systematic Reviews* 2017;6(1):128. doi: [10.1186/s13643-017-0529-0](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13643-017-0529-0)
39. Budd G, Griffiths D, Howick J, et al. Empathy in patient-clinician interactions when using telecommunication: A rapid review of the evidence. *PEC Innovation* 2022;1:100065. doi: [10.1016/j.pecinn.2022.100065](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pecinn.2022.100065)
40. Carrillo de Albornoz S, Sia KL, Harris A. The effectiveness of teleconsultations in primary care: systematic review. *Family Practice* 2022;39(1):168-82. doi: [10.1093/fampra/cmab077](https://doi.org/10.1093/fampra/cmab077)
41. Parker RF, Figures EL, Paddison CA, et al. Inequalities in general practice remote consultations: a systematic review. *BJGP Open* 2021;5(3) doi: [10.3399/bjgp0.2021.0040](https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp0.2021.0040)
42. Anchors Z, Jones B, Thomas R, et al. The impact of remote consultations on the health and wellbeing of first contact physiotherapists in primary care: A mixed methods study. *Musculoskeletal Care* 2023;21(3):655-66. doi: [10.1002/msc.1737](https://doi.org/10.1002/msc.1737)
43. Howells K, Amp M, Burrows M, et al. Remote primary care during the COVID-19 pandemic for people experiencing homelessness: a qualitative study. *Br J Gen Pract* 2022;72(720):e492-e500. doi: [10.3399/bjgp.2021.0596](https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp.2021.0596)
44. Anderson J, Walsh J, Anderson M, et al. Patient Satisfaction With Remote Consultations in a Primary Care Setting. *Cureus* 2021;13(9):e17814. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.7759/cureus.17814>
45. Bardsley M, Steventon A, Doll H. Impact of telehealth on general practice contacts: findings from the whole systems demonstrator cluster randomised trial. *BMC Health Serv Res* 2013;8(13):395. doi: [10.1186/1472-6963-13-395](https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6963-13-395)
46. Tuijt R, Rait G, Frost R, et al. Remote primary care consultations for people living with dementia during the COVID-19 pandemic: experiences of people living with dementia and their carers. *Br J Gen Pract* 2021;71(709):e574-e82. doi: [10.3399/BJGP.2020.1094](https://doi.org/10.3399/BJGP.2020.1094)
47. Pinnock H, Bawden R, Proctor S, et al. Accessibility, acceptability, and effectiveness in primary care of routine telephone review of asthma: pragmatic, randomised controlled trial. *BMJ* 2003;326(7387):477-9. doi: [10.1136/bmj.326.7387.477](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.326.7387.477)
48. Pinnock H, Adlem L, Gaskin S, et al. Accessibility, clinical effectiveness, and practice costs of providing a telephone option for routine asthma reviews: phase IV controlled implementation study. *Br J Gen Pract* 2007;57(542):714-22.
49. Nagle JP, McMahon K, Barbour M, et al. Evaluation of the use and usefulness of telephone consultations in one general practice. *Br J Gen Pract* 1992;42(358):190-3. doi: [PMC1372022](https://doi.org/PMC1372022)
50. Bryce C, O'Connell MD, Dale J, et al. Online and telephone access to general practice: a cross-sectional patient survey. *BJGP Open* 2021;5(4). doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.3399/BJGPO.2020.0179>
51. Hewitt H, Gafaranga J, McKinstry B. Comparison of face-to-face and telephone consultations in primary care: qualitative analysis. *Br J Gen Pract* 2010;60(574):e201-12. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.3399/bjgp10X501831>
52. Holding J, Maxwell M. Down the line: patient stories of digital primary care in a pandemic, and building better access for all. NHS England - East of England; 2021.

53. Jones RB, Tredinnick-Rowe J, Baines R, et al. Use and usability of GP online services: A mixed-methods sequential study, before and during the COVID-19 pandemic, based on qualitative interviews, analysis of routine eConsult usage and feedback data, and assessment of GP websites in Devon and Cornwall. *BMJ Open* 2022;12(3). doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2021-058247>
54. Ladds E, Khan M, Moore L, et al. The impact of remote care approaches on continuity in primary care: a mixed-studies systematic review. *Br J Gen Pract* 2023;73(730):e374-e83. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.3399/BJGP.2022.0398>
55. Patel H, Patel M, Car J. Telephone consultations in general practice: areas for improvement. *Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare* 2005;11(5):265-6. doi: [10.1258/1357633054471876](https://doi.org/10.1258/1357633054471876)
56. Doublet-Stewart MP. Improving access in primary care. *Br J Gen Pract* 2001;51(472):930-1.
57. McKinstry B, Hammersley V, Burton C, et al. The quality, safety and content of telephone and face-to-face consultations: a comparative study. *Quality and Safety in Health Care* 2010;19(4):298-303. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1136/qshc.2008.027763>
58. McKinstry B, Watson P, Pinnock H, et al. Telephone consulting in primary care: a triangulated qualitative study of patients and providers. *Br J Gen Pract* 2009;59(563):e209-18. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.3399/bjgp09X420941>
59. Hammersley V, Donaghy E, Parker R, et al. Comparing the content and quality of video, telephone, and face-to-face consultations: a non-randomised, quasi-experimental, exploratory study in UK primary care. *Br J Gen Pract* 2019;69(686):e595-e604. doi: [10.3399/bjgp19X704573](https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp19X704573)
60. Greenhalgh T, Ladds E, Hughes G, et al. Why do GPs rarely do video consultations? qualitative study in UK general practice. *Br J Gen Pract* 2022;72(718):e351-e60. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.3399/BJGP.2021.0658>
61. Wherton J, Shaw S, Papoutsi C, et al. Guidance on the introduction and use of video consultations during COVID-19: important lessons from qualitative research. *BMJ Leader* 2020;4(3):120-23. doi: [10.1136/leader-2020-000262](https://doi.org/10.1136/leader-2020-000262)
62. Harvey S, Peterkin G, Wootton R. Eleven years of experience with low-bandwidth telemedicine in a nurse-led rural clinic in Scotland. *J Telemed Telecare* 2010;16(8):417-21. doi: [10.1258/jtt.2010.100310](https://doi.org/10.1258/jtt.2010.100310)
63. Assing Hvidt E, Atherton H, Keuper J, et al. Low Adoption of Video Consultations in Post-COVID-19 General Practice in Northern Europe: Barriers to Use and Potential Action Points. *J Med Internet Res* 2023;25:e47173. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.2196/47173>
64. Donaghy E, Atherton H, Hammersley V, et al. Acceptability, benefits, and challenges of video consulting: a qualitative study in primary care. *Br J Gen Pract* 2019;69(686):e586-e94. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.3399/bjgp19X704141>
65. Payne R, Clarke A. Risks of delivering care by telephone or video in general practice: review of national complaints data. *Br J Gen Pract* 2023;73(suppl 1). doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.3399/bjgp23X733617>
66. Levy S, Bradley DA, Morison MJ, et al. Future patient care: tele-empowerment. *Journal of Telemedicine and Telecare* 2002;8 Suppl 2:52-4. doi: [10.1177/1357633X020080S224](https://doi.org/10.1177/1357633X020080S224)
67. Irving G, Lawson D, Tinsley A, et al. Evaluation of a 'drop box' doorstep assessment service to aid remote assessments for COVID-19 in general practice. *BMJ Open Qual* 2021;10(1):03. doi: [10.1136/bmjopen-2020-001081](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2020-001081)
68. Khan MN. Telephone consultations in primary care, how to improve their safety, effectiveness and quality. *BMJ Quality Improvement Reports* 2013;2(1). doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjquality.u202013.w1227>
69. Mair F, Peterkin G, Laing A, et al. Feasibility of a telemedicine 'booth' for supporting remote care in Scotland. *J Telemed Telecare* 2008;14(3):127-9. doi: [10.1258/jtt.2008.003007](https://doi.org/10.1258/jtt.2008.003007)

70. Jones K, Lennon E, McCathie K, et al. Teledermatology to reduce face-to-face appointments in general practice during the COVID-19 pandemic: a quality improvement project. *BMJ Open Qual* 2022;11(2):05. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2021-001789>
71. Verity A, Tzortziou Brown V. Inclusion health patient perspectives on remote access to general practice: a qualitative study. *BJGP Open* 2023;7(2). doi: [10.3399/BJGPO.2023.0023](https://doi.org/10.3399/BJGPO.2023.0023)
72. Doctors of the World. Safe Surgeries [webpage]. <https://www.doctorsoftheworld.org.uk/safesurgeries/2023>
73. Salisbury C, Banks J, Goodall S, et al. *An evaluation of Advanced Access in general practice. Report for the National Coordinating Centre for NHS Service Delivery and Organisation R & D*. 2007. <https://njl-admin.nihr.ac.uk/document/download/2008491>
74. Gardner T. *GP waiting times: learning from the past*. The Health Foundation; 2019.
75. Dixon S, Sampson FC, O'Cathain A, et al. Advanced access: more than just GP waiting times? *Family Practice* 2006;23(2):233-9. doi: [10.1093/fampra/cmi104](https://doi.org/10.1093/fampra/cmi104)
76. Offredy M, Ahluwalia S. Ensuring successful implementation of advanced access in primary care: A qualitative study. *Clinician in Management* 2006;14(4):177-88.
77. Gill N, Freeman GK. Continuity of care and rapid access: the potential impact of appointment systems. *Primary Health Care Research and Development* 2007;8(03):235-42. doi: [10.1017/s146342360700028x](https://doi.org/10.1017/s146342360700028x)
78. Ahluwalia S, Offredy M. A qualitative study of the impact of the implementation of advanced access in primary healthcare on the working lives of general practice staff. *BMC Fam Pract* 2005;6:39. doi: [10.1186/1471-2296-6-39](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2296-6-39)
79. Goodall S, Montgomery A, Banks J, et al. Implementation of Advanced Access in general practice: postal survey of practices. *Br J Gen Pract* 2006;56(533):918-23.
80. Pickin M, O'Cathain A, Sampson FC, et al. Evaluation of advanced access in the national primary care collaborative. *Br J Gen Pract* 2004;54(502):334-40.
81. Salisbury C, Goodall S, Montgomery AA, et al. Does Advanced Access improve access to primary health care? Questionnaire survey of patients. *Br J Gen Pract* 2007;57(541):615-21.
82. Salisbury C, Montgomery AA, Simons L, et al. Impact of Advanced Access on access, workload, and continuity: controlled before-and-after and simulated-patient study. *Br J Gen Pract* 2007;57(541):608-14.
83. Rose KD, Ross JS, Horwitz LI. Advanced access scheduling outcomes: a systematic review. *Arch Intern Med* 2011;171(13):1150-9. doi: [10.1001/archinternmed.2011.168](https://doi.org/10.1001/archinternmed.2011.168)
84. Pope C, Banks J, Salisbury C, et al. Improving access to primary care: eight case studies of introducing Advanced Access in England. *J Health Serv Res Policy* 2008;13(1):33-9. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1258/jhsrp.2007.007039>
85. Ansell D, Crispo JAG, Simard B, et al. Interventions to reduce wait times for primary care appointments: a systematic review. *BMC Health Serv Res* 2017;17(1):295. doi: [10.1186/s12913-017-2219-y](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-017-2219-y)
86. Meade JG, Brown JS. Improving access for patients - a practice manager questionnaire. *BMC Fam Pract* 2006;7:37. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2296-7-37>
87. Fuller C. *Next Steps for Integrating Primary Care: Fuller Stocktake Report*. NHS England; 2022.
88. Slater J, Malik S, Davey P, et al. Improving access to primary care: a mixed-methods approach studying a new review appointment system in a Scottish GP practice. *BMJ Open Quality* 2021;10(2):e001279. doi: [10.1136/bmjopen-2020-001279](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2020-001279)
89. Sampson R, O'Rourke J, Hendry R, et al. Sharing control of appointment length with patients in general practice: a qualitative study. *Br J Gen Pract* 2013;63(608):e185-91. doi: [10.3399/bjgp13X664234](https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp13X664234)
90. Rosen R. *Divided we fall: Getting the best out of general practice*. London: Nuffield Trust; 2018.

91. NHS England. Directly bookable appointments: guidance for practices [webpage]. <https://www.england.nhs.uk/long-read/directly-bookable-appointments-guidance-for-practices/2022> [updated 25 November 2022].
92. Margham T, Williams C, Steadman J, et al. Reducing missed appointments in general practice: evaluation of a quality improvement programme in East London. *Br J Gen Pract* 2021;71(702):e31-e38. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.3399/bjgp20X713909>
93. Pascoe SW, Neal RD, Allgar VL. Open-access versus bookable appointment systems: survey of patients attending appointments with general practitioners. *Br J Gen Pract* 2004;54(502):367-9.
94. Iacobucci G. GP appointments should be 15 minutes long, says BMA. *BMJ* 2016;354:i4709. doi: [10.1136/bmj.i4709](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.i4709)
95. BMA. Safe working in general practice [updated 24 January 2024] [webpage]. <https://www.bma.org.uk/advice-and-support/gp-practices/managing-workload/safe-working-in-general-practice>
96. Beech B, Fraser C, Gardner T, et al. *What the Commonwealth Fund's 2022 International Health Policy Survey of Primary Care Physicians in 10 Countries means for the UK*. The Health Foundation; 2023.
97. Mercer SW, Fitzpatrick B, Gourlay G, et al. More time for complex consultations in a high-deprivation practice is associated with increased patient enablement. *Br J Gen Pract* 2007;57(545):960-6. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.3399/096016407782604910>
98. Wilson A, McDonald P, Hayes L, et al. Longer booking intervals in general practice: effects on doctors' stress and arousal. *Br J Gen Pract* 1991;41(346):184-7.
99. Wilson A, Childs S, Deveugele M, et al. Longer consultations may be associated with improved outcomes in primary care. *Evidence-Based Healthcare* 2003;7(2):75-76. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1462-9410%2803%2900038-X>
100. Campbell JL, Howie JG. Changes resulting from increasing appointment length: practical and theoretical issues. *Br J Gen Pract* 1992;42(360):276-8.
101. Wilson A, Childs S. The effect of interventions to alter the consultation length of family physicians: a systematic review. *Br J Gen Pract* 2006;56(532):876-82.
102. Wilson AD, Childs S, Goncalves-Bradley DC, et al. Interventions to increase or decrease the length of primary care physicians' consultation. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2016;2016(8):CD003540. doi: [10.1002/14651858.CD003540.pub3](https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD003540.pub3)
103. Wilson AD, Childs S. Effects of interventions aimed at changing the length of primary care physicians' consultation. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2006(1):CD003540. doi: [10.1002/14651858.CD003540.pub2](https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD003540.pub2)
104. Wilson A. Extending appointment length - the effect in one practice. *J R Coll Gen Pract* 1989;39(318):24-5.
105. Wilson A, McDonald P, Hayes L, et al. Health Promotion in the General-Practice Consultation - a Minute Makes a Difference. *BMJ* 1992;304(6821):227-30. doi: [10.1136/bmj.304.6821.227](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.304.6821.227)
106. Lambourn R, Richardson J, Batley E, et al. Sharing control of appointment length with patients in general practice. *Br J Gen Pract* 2013;63(611):291. doi: [10.3399/bjgp13X668096](https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp13X668096)
107. Harrison AT. Appointment systems: evaluation of a flexible system offering patients limited choice. *Br Med J (Clin Res Ed)* 1988;296(6623):685-6. doi: [10.1136/bmj.296.6623.685](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.296.6623.685)
108. Seymour L, Morris B. Improving access to primary care. *Ment Health Today* 2007:33-5.
109. Williams M, Neal RD. Time for a change? The process of lengthening booking intervals in general practice. *Br J Gen Pract* 1998;48(436):1783-6.
110. West of England Academic Health Science Network. *Measuring Demand in General Practice - An exploratory study across the West of England Academic Health Science Network (AHSN) region*. 2015. <https://www.weahsn.net/wp-content/uploads/Measuring-Demand-in-General-Practice.pdf>
111. NHS Digital. *Appointments in General Practice*. NHS Digital; 2022.

112. NHS Primary Care Group. *Network Contract Directed Enhanced Service: Standardised GP Appointment Categories*. 2021.
113. Healthcare Improvement Scotland iHub. GP Access Tools: Learning from practices. <https://ihub.scot/project-toolkits/gp-access-tools/gp-access-tools/learning-from-practices/>
114. Department of Health. *World class commissioning for GP services: Improving GP Access and Responsiveness*. Department of Health; 2009.
115. Greig DN. Making an appointment system work. *Br Med J (Clin Res Ed)* 1984;288(6428):1423-5. doi: [10.1136/bmj.288.6428.1423](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.288.6428.1423)
116. Swaites L, Paskins Z, Duffy H, et al. Experience of implementing and delivering group consultations in UK general practice: a qualitative study. *Br J Gen Pract* 2021;71(707):e413-e22. doi: [10.3399/bjgp.2020.0856](https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp.2020.0856)
117. NHS England. Group consultations: Together, patients are stronger [webpage]. <https://www.england.nhs.uk/gp/case-studies/group-consultations-together-patients-are-stronger/>
118. Papoutsi C, Shaw S, Greenhalgh T. Implementing video group consultations in general practice during COVID-19: a qualitative study. *Br J Gen Pract* 2022;72(720):e483-e91. doi: [10.3399/BJGP.2021.0673](https://doi.org/10.3399/BJGP.2021.0673)
119. Clare A, MacNeil S, Bunton T, et al. 'The Doctor doesn't need to see you now': reduction in general practice appointments following group pain management. *Br J Pain* 2019;13(2):121-29. doi: [10.1177/2049463718812501](https://doi.org/10.1177/2049463718812501)
120. Graham F, Martin H, Lecouturier J, et al. Shared medical appointments in English primary care for long-term conditions: a qualitative study of the views and experiences of patients, primary care staff and other stakeholders. *BMC Prim Care* 2022;23(1):180. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12875-022-01790-z>
121. Coates J, Gething F, Johnson MI. Shared medical appointments for managing pain in primary care settings? *Pain Management* 2017;7(4):223-27. doi: [10.2217/pmt-2017-0016](https://doi.org/10.2217/pmt-2017-0016)
122. Jones T, Darzi A, Egger G, et al. Process and systems: a systems approach to embedding group consultations in the NHS. *Future Healthc J* 2019;6(1):8-16. doi: [10.7861/futurehosp.6-1-8](https://doi.org/10.7861/futurehosp.6-1-8)
123. Patel G, Walsh N, Gooberman-Hill R. Managing osteoarthritis in primary care: exploring healthcare professionals' views on a multiple-joint intervention designed to facilitate self-management. *Musculoskeletal Care* 2014;12(4):199-209. doi: [10.1002/msc.1074](https://doi.org/10.1002/msc.1074)
124. Robson J, Boomla K, Fitzpatrick S, et al. Using nurses for preventive activities with computer assisted follow up: a randomised controlled trial. *BMJ* 1989;298(6671):433-6. doi: [10.1136/bmj.298.6671.433](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.298.6671.433)
125. Comino E, Davies G, Krastev Y, et al. A systematic review of interventions to enhance access to best practice primary health care for chronic disease management, prevention and episodic care. *BMC Health Serv Res* 2012;12(415) doi: <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6963-12-415>
126. Ryan M, Marlow L, Forster A, et al. Offering an app to book cervical screening appointments: A service evaluation. *Journal of Medical Screening* 2020;27(2):85-89. doi: [10.1177/0969141319871312](https://doi.org/10.1177/0969141319871312)
127. NHS England. Online appointment booking [webpage]. <https://www.england.nhs.uk/long-read/online-appointment-booking/2023> [updated 16 February 2023, Version 1.1].
128. Neville RG, Reed C, Boswell B, et al. Early experience of the use of short message service (SMS) technology in routine clinical care. *Inform Prim Care* 2008;16(3):203-11. doi: [10.14236/jhi.v16i3.695](https://doi.org/10.14236/jhi.v16i3.695)
129. Fairhurst K, Sheikh A. Texting appointment reminders to repeated non-attenders in primary care: randomised controlled study. *BMJ Quality & Safety* 2008;17(5):373-6. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1136/qshc.2006.020768>

130. Bull SL, Frost N, Bull ER. Behaviourally informed, patient-led interventions to reduce missed appointments in general practice: a 12-month implementation study. *Family Practice* 2023;40(1):16-22. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/fampra/cmace064>
131. Atherton H, Brant H, Ziebland S, et al. Alternatives to the face-to-face consultation in general practice: focused ethnographic case study. *Br J Gen Pract* 2018;68(669):e293-e300. doi: [10.3399/bjgp18X694853](https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp18X694853)
132. Atherton H, Pappas Y, Heneghan C, et al. Experiences of using email for general practice consultations: a qualitative study. *Br J Gen Pract* 2013;63(616):e760-7. doi: [10.3399/bjgp13X674440](https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp13X674440)
133. Mann C, Turner A, Salisbury C. *The impact of remote consultations on personalised care: Evidence briefing*. Personalised Care Institute; 2021.
134. Neville RG, Marsden W, McCowan C, et al. Email consultations in general practice. *Inform Prim Care* 2004;12(4):207-14. doi: [10.14236/jhi.v12i4.128](https://doi.org/10.14236/jhi.v12i4.128)
135. Neville RG, Marsden W, McCowan C, et al. A survey of GP attitudes to and experiences of email consultations. *Inform Prim Care* 2004;12(4):201-6. doi: [10.14236/jhi.v12i4.127](https://doi.org/10.14236/jhi.v12i4.127)
136. Atherton H, Boylan AM, Eccles A, et al. Email Consultations Between Patients and Doctors in Primary Care: Content Analysis. *J Med Internet Res* 2020;22(11):e18218. doi: [10.2196/18218](https://doi.org/10.2196/18218)
137. Brant H, Atherton H, Ziebland S, et al. Using alternatives to face-to-face consultations: a survey of prevalence and attitudes in general practice. *Br J Gen Pract* 2016;66(648):e460-6. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.3399/bjgp16X685597>
138. Huxley CJ, Atherton H, Watkins JA, et al. Digital communication between clinician and patient and the impact on marginalised groups: a realist review in general practice. *Br J Gen Pract* 2015;65(641):e813-21. doi: [10.3399/bjgp15X687853](https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp15X687853)
139. Meyer B, Atherton H, Sawmynaden P, et al. Email for communicating results of diagnostic medical investigations to patients. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2012(8):Cd007980. doi: [10.1002/14651858.CD007980.pub2](https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD007980.pub2)
140. Mohammed MA, Montague J, Faisal M, et al. The value of a Patient Access Portal in primary care: a cross-sectional survey of 62,486 registered users in the UK. *Universal Access in the Information Society* 2020;19(4):855-72. doi: [10.1007/s10209-019-00693-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10209-019-00693-8)
141. NHS England. *General Practice Forward View*. NHS England; 2016.
142. Castle-Clarke S, Imison C. *The digital patient: transforming primary care*. Nuffield Trust; 2016. <https://www.nuffieldtrust.org.uk/research/the-digital-patient-transforming-primary-care>
143. El-Osta A, Hennessey C, Pilot C, et al. A digital solution to streamline access to smoking cessation interventions in England; findings from a primary care pilot (STOPNOW study). *Public Health Pract (Oxf)* 2021;2:100176. doi: [10.1016/j.puhip.2021.100176](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.puhip.2021.100176)
144. McMillan B, Davidge G, Brown L, et al. A qualitative exploration of patients' experiences, needs and expectations regarding online access to their primary care record. *BMJ Open* 2021;11(3):e044221. doi: [10.1136/bmjopen-2020-044221](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2020-044221)
145. Mold F, de Lusignan S, Sheikh A, et al. Patients' online access to their electronic health records and linked online services: a systematic review in primary care. *Br J Gen Pract* 2015;65(632):e141-51. doi: [10.3399/bjgp15X683941](https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp15X683941)
146. Davidge G, Brown L, Lyons M, et al. Primary care staff's views and experience of patients' online access to their electronic health record: a qualitative exploration. *Br J Gen Pract* 2023;73(731):e418-e26. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.3399/BJGP.2022.0436>
147. Pagliari C, Shand T, Fisher B. Embedding online patient record access in UK primary care: a survey of stakeholder experiences. *JRSM Short Rep* 2012;3(5):34. doi: [10.1258/shorts.2012.012009](https://doi.org/10.1258/shorts.2012.012009)
148. Pyper C, Amery J, Watson M, et al. Patients' experiences when accessing their on-line electronic patient records in primary care. *Br J Gen Pract* 2004;54(498):38-43.

149. Mold F, Hendy J, Lai YL, et al. Electronic Consultation in Primary Care Between Providers and Patients: Systematic Review. *JMIR Medical Informatics* 2019;7(4):e13042. doi: [10.2196/13042](https://doi.org/10.2196/13042)
150. Fisher B, Bhavnani V, Winfield M. How patients use access to their full health records: a qualitative study of patients in general practice. *Journal of the Royal Society of Medicine* 2009;102(12):539-44. doi: [10.1258/jrsm.2009.090328](https://doi.org/10.1258/jrsm.2009.090328)
151. Fitton C, Fitton R, Hannan A, et al. The impact of patient record access on appointments and telephone calls in two English general practices: a population-based study. *London J Prim Care (Abingdon)* 2014;6(1):8-15. doi: [10.1080/17571472.2014.11493405](https://doi.org/10.1080/17571472.2014.11493405)
152. Gladwin J. Increasing access to patient records. *Nursing Times* 2013;109(35):22-4.
153. Blease C, Torous J, Dong Z, et al. Patient Online Record Access in English Primary Care: Qualitative Survey Study of General Practitioners' Views. *J Med Internet Res* 2023;25:e43496. doi: [10.2196/43496](https://doi.org/10.2196/43496)
154. Hannan A. Providing patients online access to their primary care computerised medical records: a case study of sharing and caring. *Inform Prim Care* 2010;18(1):41-9. doi: [10.14236/jhi.v18i1.752](https://doi.org/10.14236/jhi.v18i1.752)
155. Turner A, Morris R, McDonagh L, et al. Unintended consequences of patient online access to health records: a qualitative study in UK primary care. *Br J Gen Pract* 2023;73(726):e67-e74. doi: [10.3399/BJGP.2021.0720](https://doi.org/10.3399/BJGP.2021.0720)
156. Mold F, de Lusignan S. Patients' Online Access to Their Primary Care Electronic Health Records and Linked Online Services: Implications for Research and Practice. *J Pers Med* 2015;5(4):452-69. doi: [10.3390/jpm5040452](https://doi.org/10.3390/jpm5040452)
157. Louch G, Albutt A, Smyth K, et al. What do primary care staff think about patients accessing electronic health records? A focus group study. *BMC Health Serv Res* 2022;22(1):581. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12913-022-07954-y>
158. Bhavnani V, Fisher B, Winfield M, et al. How patients use access to their electronic GP record - a quantitative study. *Fam Pract* 2011;28(2):188-94. doi: [10.1093/fampra/cmq092](https://doi.org/10.1093/fampra/cmq092)
159. Leahy D, Lyons A, Dahm M, et al. Use of text messaging in general practice: a mixed methods investigation on GPs' and patients' views. *Br J Gen Pract* 2017;67(664):e744-e50. doi: [10.3399/bjgp17X693065](https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp17X693065)
160. Hirst Y, Skrobanski H, Kerrison RS, et al. Text-message Reminders in Colorectal Cancer Screening (TRICCS): a randomised controlled trial. *Br J Cancer* 2017;116(11):1408-14. doi: [10.1038/bjc.2017.117](https://doi.org/10.1038/bjc.2017.117)
161. Schwebel FJ, Larimer ME. Using text message reminders in health care services: A narrative literature review. *Internet Interventions* 2018;13:82-104. doi: [10.1016/j.invent.2018.06.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.invent.2018.06.002)
162. Cottrell E, McMillan K, Chambers R. A cross-sectional survey and service evaluation of simple telehealth in primary care: what do patients think? *BMJ Open* 2012;2(6) doi: [10.1136/bmjopen-2012-001392](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2012-001392)
163. Khunti K, Griffin S, Brennan A, et al. Promoting physical activity in a multi-ethnic population at high risk of diabetes: the 48-month PROPELS randomised controlled trial. *BMC Medicine* 2021;19(1):130. doi: [10.1186/s12916-021-01997-4](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-021-01997-4)
164. McAvoy BR, Raza R. Can health education increase uptake of cervical smear testing among Asian women? *BMJ* 1991;302(6780):833-6. doi: [10.1136/bmj.302.6780.833](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.302.6780.833)
165. Laake JP, Vulkan D, Quaife SL, et al. Targeted encouragement of GP consultations for possible cancer symptoms: a randomised controlled trial. *Br J Gen Pract* 2021;71(706):e339-e46. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.3399/bjgp20X713489>
166. Walters P, Fellow MRC, Fisher J, et al. Do mail-shots improve access to primary care for young men with depression? *The European Journal of Psychiatry* 2007;21(1):49-54. doi: [10.4321/s0213-61632007000100006](https://doi.org/10.4321/s0213-61632007000100006)
167. Close J, Fosh B, Wheat H, et al. Longitudinal evaluation of a countywide alternative to the Quality and Outcomes Framework in UK General Practice aimed at improving

- Person Centred Coordinated Care. *BMJ Open* 2019;9(7):e029721. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2019-029721>
168. Marteau TM. Impact of an informed choice invitation on uptake of screening for diabetes in primary care (DICISION): randomised trial. *BMJ* 2010;340:c2138. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.c2138>
169. Hull S, Hagdrup N, Hart B, et al. Boosting uptake of influenza immunisation: a randomised controlled trial of telephone appointing in general practice. *Br J Gen Pract* 2002;52(482):712-6.
170. Hindi AMK, Schafheutle EI, Jacobs S. Community pharmacy integration within the primary care pathway for people with long-term conditions: a focus group study of patients', pharmacists' and GPs' experiences and expectations. *BMC Fam Pract* 2019;20(1):26. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12875-019-0912-0>
171. NHS England. *Community pharmacy advanced service specification: NHS Community Pharmacist Consultation Service*. NHS; 2022.
172. Hindi AMK, Jacobs S, Schafheutle EI. Solidarity or dissonance? A systematic review of pharmacist and GP views on community pharmacy services in the UK. *Health & Social Care in the Community* 2019;27(3):565-98. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1111/hsc.12618>
173. Burns C. Extra 2.5m for pharmacies in Wales to improve access to minor ailments service. *Pharmaceutical Journal* 2022;308(7957). <https://pharmaceutical-journal.com/article/news/extra-25m-for-pharmacies-in-wales-to-improve-access-to-minor-ailments-service>
174. Yogasundram S. Community pharmacists issue prescriptions and reduce GPs' waiting lists. *Pharmacy in Practice* 2003;13(9):302-04.
175. Wagner A, Noyce PR, Ashcroft DM. Changing patient consultation patterns in primary care: an investigation of uptake of the Minor Ailments Service in Scotland. *Health Policy* 2011;99(1):44-51. doi: [10.1016/j.healthpol.2010.07.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.healthpol.2010.07.002)
176. Scott A, Bond C, Inch J, et al. Preferences of community pharmacists for extended roles in primary care: a survey and discrete choice experiment. *Pharmacoeconomics* 2007;25(9):783-92. doi: [10.2165/00019053-200725090-00006](https://doi.org/10.2165/00019053-200725090-00006)
177. NHS England. *NHS Community Pharmacist Consultation Service (NHS 111 minor illness & urgent medicines supply pathways): toolkit for pharmacy staff*. In: Pharmacy Integration Team and the Urgent Care Delivery & Implementation Group (ed.); 2019.
178. Parsons J, Rahman S, Bryce C, et al. Use of a pharmacy-based GP video consultation service: a mixed methods study. *Family Practice* 2023;40(2):241-47. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/fampra/cmab101>
179. Thomas F, Hansford L, Ford J, et al. How accessible and acceptable are current GP referral mechanisms for IAPT for low-income patients? Lay and primary care perspectives. *Journal of Mental Health* 2020;29(6):706-11. doi: [10.1080/09638237.2019.1677876](https://doi.org/10.1080/09638237.2019.1677876)
180. Babatunde OO, Bishop A, Cottrell E, et al. A systematic review and evidence synthesis of non-medical triage, self-referral and direct access services for patients with musculoskeletal pain. *PLoS One* 2020;15(7):e0235364. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0235364](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0235364)
181. Bishop A, Chen Y, Protheroe J, et al. Providing patients with direct access to musculoskeletal physiotherapy: the impact on general practice musculoskeletal workload and resource use. The STEMS-2 study. *Physiotherapy* 2021;111:48-56. doi: [10.1016/j.physio.2020.04.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physio.2020.04.006)
182. Department of Health. *Self-referral pilots to musculoskeletal physiotherapy and the implications for improving access to other AHP services*. Department of Health; 2008. <https://data.parliament.uk/DepositedPapers/Files/DEP2008-2505/DEP2008-2505.pdf>
183. Downie F, McRitchie C, Monteith W, et al. Physiotherapist as an alternative to a GP for musculoskeletal conditions: a 2-year service evaluation of UK primary care data. *Br J Gen Pract* 2019;69(682):e314-e20. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.3399/bjgp19X702245>

184. Wood L, Bishop A, Goodwin R, et al. Patient satisfaction with the first contact physiotherapy service: Results from the national evaluation survey. *Musculoskeletal Care* 2022;20(2):363-70. doi: [10.1002/msc.1599](https://doi.org/10.1002/msc.1599)
185. Igwesi-Chidobe CN, Bishop A, Humphreys K, et al. Implementing patient direct access to musculoskeletal physiotherapy in primary care: views of patients, general practitioners, physiotherapists and clinical commissioners in England. *Physiotherapy* 2021;111:31-39. doi: [10.1016/j.physio.2020.07.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physio.2020.07.002)
186. Piscitelli D, Furmanek MP, Meroni R, et al. Direct access in physical therapy: a systematic review. *Clin Ter* 2018;169(5):e249-e60. <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/30393813/>
187. Liu Y, Dickerson T, Waddingham P, et al. Improving people's access to community-based back pain treatment through an inclusive design approach. *Appl Ergon* 2019;81:102876. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.apergo.2019.102876>
188. Holdsworth LK, Webster VS. Direct access to physiotherapy in primary care: now? - and into the future? *Physiotherapy* 2004;90(2):64-72. doi: [10.1016/j.physio.2004.01.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physio.2004.01.005)
189. Chief Allied Health Professions Officer's Team. *AHPs into Action. Using Allied Health Professions to transform health, care and wellbeing*. NHS England; 2017. <https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2017/01/ahp-action-transform-hlth.pdf>:
190. Laurant M, van der Biezen M, Wijers N, et al. Nurses as substitutes for doctors in primary care. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2018;7(7):Cd001271. doi: [10.1002/14651858.CD001271.pub3](https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD001271.pub3)
191. Paddison CAM, Abel GA, Burt J, et al. What happens to patient experience when you want to see a doctor and you get to speak to a nurse? Observational study using data from the English General Practice Patient Survey. *BMJ Open* 2018;8(2):e018690. doi: [10.1136/bmjopen-2017-018690](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2017-018690)
192. Francetic I, Gibson J, Spooner S, et al. Skill-mix change and outcomes in primary care: Longitudinal analysis of general practices in England 2015–2019. *Social Science & Medicine* 2022;308:115224. doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2022.115224>
193. Gibson J, Francetic I, Spooner S, et al. Primary care workforce composition and population, professional, and system outcomes: a retrospective cross-sectional analysis. *Br J Gen Pract* 2022;72(718):e307-e15. doi: [10.3399/BJGP.2021.0593](https://doi.org/10.3399/BJGP.2021.0593)
194. McDermott I, Spooner S, Goff M, et al. Scale, scope and impact of skill mix change in primary care in England: a mixed-methods study. *National Institute for Health and Care Research* 2022;10:9. doi: [10.3310/YWTU6690](https://doi.org/10.3310/YWTU6690)
195. Purdy D, Hardwick C. Seeing double: expanding GP capacity through teamwork and redesign. *Br J Gen Pract* 2018;68(666):29. doi: [10.3399/bjgp17X694217](https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp17X694217)
196. Drury M, Greenfield S, Stilwell B, et al. A nurse practitioner in general practice: patient perceptions and expectations. *J R Coll Gen Pract* 1988;38(316):503-5.
197. Redsell SA, Jackson C, Stroke T, et al. Patient expectations of 'first-contact care' consultations with nurse and general practitioners in primary care. *Quality in Primary Care* 2007;15(1):5-10.
198. Richards DA, Meakins J, Godfrey L, et al. Survey of the impact of nurse telephone triage on general practitioner activity. *Br J Gen Pract* 2004;54(500):207-10.
199. Rashidian A, Shakibazadeh E, Karimi-Shahanjarini A, et al. Barriers and facilitators to the implementation of doctor-nurse substitution strategies in primary care: Qualitative evidence synthesis. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2013;2013(2). doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD010412>
200. Gerard K, Tinelli M, Latter S. Patients' valuation of the prescribing nurse in primary care: a discrete choice experiment. *Health Expect* 2015;18(6):2223-35.
201. Karimi-Shahanjarini A, Shakibazadeh E, Rashidian A, et al. Barriers and facilitators to the implementation of doctor-nurse substitution strategies in primary care: A qualitative evidence synthesis. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2019;2019(4). doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD010412.pub2>

202. Perry C, Thurston M, Killey M, et al. The nurse practitioner in primary care: alleviating problems of access? *Br J Nurs* 2005;14(5):255-9. doi: [10.12968/bjon.2005.14.5.17659](https://doi.org/10.12968/bjon.2005.14.5.17659)
203. Shum C, Humphreys A, Wheeler D, et al. Nurse management of patients with minor illnesses in general practice: multicentre, randomised controlled trial. *BMJ* 2000;320(7241):1038-43. doi: [10.1136/bmj.320.7241.1038](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.320.7241.1038)
204. Torrens C, Campbell P, Hoskins G, et al. Barriers and facilitators to the implementation of the advanced nurse practitioner role in primary care settings: A scoping review. *International Journal of Nursing Studies* 2020;104:103443. doi: [10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2019.103443](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2019.103443)
205. Stenner KL, Courtenay M, Carey N. Consultations between nurse prescribers and patients with diabetes in primary care: A qualitative study of patient views. *International Journal of Nursing Studies* 2011;48(1):37-46. doi: [10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2010.06.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2010.06.006)
206. Venning P, Durie A, Roland M, et al. Randomised controlled trial comparing cost effectiveness of general practitioners and nurse practitioners in primary care. *BMJ* 2000;320(7241):1048-53. doi: [10.1136/bmj.320.7241.1048](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.320.7241.1048)
207. Pritchard A, Kendrick D. Practice nurse and health visitor management of acute minor illness in a general practice. *J Adv Nurs* 2001;36(4):556-62. doi: [10.1046/j.1365-2648.2001.02008.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2648.2001.02008.x)
208. Farmer J, Currie M, Hyman J, et al. Evaluation of physician assistants in National Health Service Scotland. *Scott Med J* 2011;56(3):130-4. doi: [10.1258/smj.2011.011109](https://doi.org/10.1258/smj.2011.011109)
209. Halter M, Drennan VM, Joly LM, et al. Patients' experiences of consultations with physician associates in primary care in England: A qualitative study. *Health Expect* 2017;20(5):1011-19. doi: [10.1111/hex.12542](https://doi.org/10.1111/hex.12542)
210. Drennan VM, Halter M, Joly L, et al. Physician associates and GPs in primary care: a comparison. *Br J Gen Pract* 2015;65(634):e344-50. doi: [10.3399/bjgp15X684877](https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp15X684877)
211. Drennan V, Halter M, Brearley S, et al. Investigating the contribution of physician assistants to primary care in England: a mixed-methods study. *Health Services and Delivery Research* 2014;2(16). doi: [10.3310/hsdr02160](https://doi.org/10.3310/hsdr02160)
212. Jackson B, Marshall M, Schofield S. Barriers and facilitators to integration of physician associates into the general practice workforce: a grounded theory approach. *Br J Gen Pract* 2017;67(664):e785-e91. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.3399/bjgp17X693113>
213. Maskrey M, Johnson CF, Cormack J, et al. Releasing GP capacity with pharmacy prescribing support and New Ways of Working: a prospective observational cohort study. *Br J Gen Pract* 2018;68(675):e735-e42. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.3399/bjgp18X699137>
214. Bojke C, Gravelle H, Hassell K, et al. Increasing patient choice in primary care: the management of minor ailments. *Health Econ* 2004;13(1):73-86. doi: [10.1002/hec.815](https://doi.org/10.1002/hec.815)
215. Avery AJ. Pharmacists working in general practice: can they help tackle the current workload crisis? *Br J Gen Pract* 2017;67(662):390-91. doi: [10.3399/bjgp17X692201](https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp17X692201)
216. Anderson C, Zhan K, Boyd M, et al. The role of pharmacists in general practice: A realist review. *Research in Social and Administrative Pharmacy* 2019;15(4):338-45. doi: [10.1016/j.sapharm.2018.06.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sapharm.2018.06.001)
217. Duncan P, Ridd MJ, McCahon D, et al. Barriers and enablers to collaborative working between GPs and pharmacists: a qualitative interview study. *Br J Gen Pract* 2020;70(692):e155-e63. doi: [10.3399/bjgp20X708197](https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp20X708197)
218. Chen J, Britten N. 'Strong medicine': an analysis of pharmacist consultations in primary care. *Family Practice* 2000;17(6):480-3. doi: [10.1093/fampra/17.6.480](https://doi.org/10.1093/fampra/17.6.480)
219. Claire M, Claire A, Matthew B. The role of clinical pharmacists in general practice in England: Impact, perspectives, barriers and facilitators. *Research in Social and Administrative Pharmacy* 2022;18(8):3432-37. doi: [10.1016/j.sapharm.2021.10.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sapharm.2021.10.006)
220. Johnson CF, Maskrey M, MacBride-Stewart S, et al. New ways of working releasing general practitioner capacity with pharmacy prescribing support: a cost-consequence

- analysis. *Family Practice* 2022;39(4):648-55. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1093/fampra/cmab175>
221. Avery AJ, Rodgers S, Cantrill JA, et al. A pharmacist-led information technology intervention for medication errors (PINCER): a multicentre, cluster randomised, controlled trial and cost-effectiveness analysis. *The Lancet* 2012;379(9823):1310-9. doi: [10.1016/S0140-6736\(11\)61817-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(11)61817-5)
 222. Peart A, Lewis V, Brown T, et al. Patient navigators facilitating access to primary care: a scoping review. *BMJ Open* 2018;8(3):e019252. doi: [10.1136/bmjopen-2017-019252](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2017-019252)
 223. Tierney S, Wong G, Roberts N, et al. Supporting social prescribing in primary care by linking people to local assets: a realist review. *BMC Med* 2020;18(1):49. doi: [10.1186/s12916-020-1510-7](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12916-020-1510-7)
 224. Jolly K, Sidhu MS, Hewitt CA, et al. Self management of patients with mild COPD in primary care: Randomised controlled trial. *BMJ* 2018;361:k2241. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmj.k2241>
 225. Visram S. Impact and acceptability of lay health trainer-led lifestyle interventions delivered in primary care: a mixed method study. *Primary Health Care Research and Development* 2017;18(4):333-43. doi: [10.1017/S146342361700010X](https://doi.org/10.1017/S146342361700010X)
 226. Calderón-Larrañaga S, Milner Y, Clinch M, et al. Tensions and opportunities in social prescribing. Developing a framework to facilitate its implementation and evaluation in primary care: a realist review. *BJGP Open* 2021;5(3). doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.3399/BJGPO.2021.0017>
 227. Pescheny JV, Pappas Y, Randhawa G. Facilitators and barriers of implementing and delivering social prescribing services: a systematic review. *BMC Health Serv Res* 2018;18(1):86. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12913-018-2893-4>
 228. George T, Aveyard H. Improving access to primary care services for those on low income: voluntary advocacy. *Br J Community Nurs* 2020;25(4):162-66. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.12968/bjcn.2020.25.4.162>
 229. Eaton G, Wong G, Williams V, et al. Contribution of paramedics in primary and urgent care: a systematic review. *Br J Gen Pract* 2020;70(695):e421-e26. doi: [10.3399/bjgp20X709877](https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp20X709877)
 230. Schofield B, Voss S, Proctor A, et al. Exploring how paramedics are deployed in general practice and the perceived benefits and drawbacks: a mixed-methods scoping study. *BJGP Open* 2020;4(2):bjgpopen20X101037. doi: [10.3399/bjgpopen20X101037](https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgpopen20X101037)
 231. Lester H, Freemantle N, Wilson S, et al. Cluster randomised controlled trial of the effectiveness of primary care mental health workers. *Br J Gen Pract* 2007;57(536):196-203. doi: [PMC2042545](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.355.12917.196)
 232. Lankshear G. The placement of a social service care manager in a GP surgery as a way to improve carer access to services and improve liaison between statutory agencies. *Health Soc Care Community* 1999;7(3):206-15. doi: [10.1046/j.1365-2524.1999.00177.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2524.1999.00177.x)
 233. NHS England and Health Education England. Workforce, training and education: General Practice Assistants [webpage]. <https://www.hee.nhs.uk/our-work/gp-assistant>
 234. General Medical Council. *The state of medical education and practice in the UK: The workforce report 2022*. GMC; 2022.
 235. Dyson B. *Improving general practice - a call to action*. Phase 1 Report: NHS England; 2014.
 236. Wass V, Gregory, S, Petty-Saphon, K. *By choice - not by chance: Supporting medical students towards future GP careers*. Medical Schools Council; 2016.
 237. Lawson E, Kumar S. The Wass report: moving forward 3 years on. *Br J Gen Pract* 2020;70(693):164-65. doi: [10.3399/bjgp20X708953](https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp20X708953)
 238. NHS England. *NHS Long Term Workforce Plan*. 2023.

239. NHS Health Education England. Targeted Enhanced Recruitment Scheme (TERS) <https://medical.hee.nhs.uk/medical-training-recruitment/medical-specialty-training/general-practice-gp/how-to-apply-for-gp-specialty-training/targeted-enhanced-recruitment-scheme>
240. Lee K, Cunningham DE. General practice recruitment - a survey of awareness and influence of the Scottish Targeted Enhanced Recruitment Scheme (TERS). *Educ Prim Care* 2019;30(5):295-300. doi: [10.1080/14739879.2019.1639554](https://doi.org/10.1080/14739879.2019.1639554)
241. Fisher R, Allen L, Malhotra AM, Alderwick H. *Tackling the inverse care law: Analysis of policies to improve general practice in deprived areas since 1990*. The Health Foundation; 2022.
242. McEvoy L. Health minister to look at new money for attracting rural GPs. *Pulse*; 30 March 2022. <https://www.pulsetoday.co.uk/news/workforce/health-minister-to-look-at-new-money-for-attracting-rural-gps/>
243. Rolewicz L, Palmer B. *Placed at a premium? The use of recruitment and retention pay supplements to address staffing shortfalls*. Nuffield Trust; 2022. <https://www.nuffieldtrust.org.uk/research/placed-at-a-premium>
244. Scottish Government. *Shaping the Future Together: Remote and Rural General Practice Working Group report*. 2020. <https://www.gov.scot/publications/shaping-future-together-report-remote-rural-general-practice-working-group/>
245. Dale J, Russell R, Harkness F, et al. Extended training to prepare GPs for future workforce needs: a qualitative investigation of a 1-year fellowship in urgent care. *Br J Gen Pract* 2017;67(662):e659-e67. doi: [10.3399/bjgp17X691853](https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp17X691853)
246. Bryce C, Russell R, Dale J. Learning from the transfer of a fellowship programme to support primary care workforce needs in the UK: a qualitative study. *BMJ Open* 2019;9(1):e023384. doi: [10.1136/bmjopen-2018-023384](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2018-023384)
247. NHS England. General Practice Fellowship programme [webpage]. <https://www.england.nhs.uk/gp/the-best-place-to-work/gp-fellowship-programme/>
248. NHS Health Education England. International Induction Programme (IIP) overview: International Induction Programme [webpage]. <https://medical.hee.nhs.uk/medical-training-recruitment/medical-specialty-training/general-practice-gp/how-to-apply-for-gp-specialty-training/international-induction-programme2022>
249. Carter R. Flagship overseas GP recruitment programme filled 124 positions out of 2k target. *Pulse*; 24 June 2022. <https://www.pulsetoday.co.uk/news/workforce/flagship-overseas-gp-recruitment-programme-filled-124-positions-out-of-2k-target/#:~:text=Flagship%20overseas%20GP%20recruitment%20programme%20filled%20124%20positions%20out%20of%202k%20target,-Rachel%20Carter&text=Exclusive%20Only%20124%20GPs%20recruited,obtained%20by%20Pulse%20have%20revealed>
250. Daily Mail. Only 124 GPs hired in international recruitment drive which aimed to attract 2,000 doctors are still working in England. *Daily Mail*; 24 June 2022. <https://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-10950811/Only-124-GPs-hired-international-recruitment-drive-hire-2-000-working-England.html>
251. NHS England. Return to Practice (RtP) programme overview: Return to Practice Programme [webpage]. <https://medical.hee.nhs.uk/medical-training-recruitment/medical-specialty-training/general-practice-gp/how-to-apply-for-gp-specialty-training/return-to-practice>
252. Edwards D, Csontos J, Gillen E, et al. What innovations (including return to practice) would help attract, recruit, or retain NHS clinical staff? A rapid evidence map. *medRxiv* 2022:2022.05.10.22274894. doi: [10.1101/2022.05.10.22274894](https://doi.org/10.1101/2022.05.10.22274894)
253. Bhatti N, Waqar S. The GP Induction and Refresher scheme: reflections from doctors. *Br J Gen Pract* 2015;65(639):548-9. doi: [10.3399/bjgp15X687157](https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp15X687157)
254. NHS England. Supporting Mentors Scheme. <https://www.england.nhs.uk/gp/the-best-place-to-work/supporting-mentors-scheme/>
255. Frgacic T. *GP trainees 'buddy' scheme – peer mentorship programme for new GP trainees*. Quality improvement project. Wirral GP Training Programme; 2021.

- <https://nwpgmd.nhs.uk/sites/default/files/QIP%20GP%20%27buddy%27%20scheme%20NW%20-%20Toni%20Frgacic.pdf>
256. Sheri K, Too JYJ, Chuah SEL, et al. A scoping review of mentor training programs in medicine between 1990 and 2017. *Medical Education Online* 2019;24(1):1555435. doi: [10.1080/10872981.2018.1555435](https://doi.org/10.1080/10872981.2018.1555435)
257. NHS England. New to Partnership Payment Scheme <https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2020/06/new-to-partnership-payemnt-scheme-guidance-21-22-v2.3.pdf> [updated June 2021. V.2.9]
258. Potter C. GP partner denied golden hello after NHS England U-turns on 'catch-22' rules. *Pulse*; 25 January 2022. <https://www.pulsetoday.co.uk/news/practice-personal-finance/gp-partner-denied-golden-hello-after-nhs-england-u-turns-on-catch-22-rules%E2%81%B7/>.
259. Bostock N. Golden hello scheme drives surge in new GP partners. *GP Online*; 11 February 2022. <https://www.gponline.com/golden-hello-scheme-drives-surge-new-gp-partners/article/1740230>
260. NHS England. National GP Retention Scheme [webpage]. <https://www.england.nhs.uk/gp/the-best-place-to-work/retaining-the-current-medical-workforce/retained-doctors/>
261. Berg G, Essuman J, Haining S, et al. *Independent Evaluation Report for the GP Retention Intensive Support Sites (GPRISS) Programme*. NHS England; 2019.
262. Primary Care Workforce Commission. *The future of primary care. Creating teams for tomorrow*. Health Education England; 2015.
263. Department of Health and Social Care and The Rt Hon Thérèse Coffey MP. Our plan for patients. DHSC; 2022.
264. Palmer B, Rolewicz L, Dodsworth E. *Waste not, want not: Strategies to improve the supply of clinical staff to the NHS*. Nuffield Trust; 2023. <https://www.nuffieldtrust.org.uk/research/waste-not-want-not-strategies-to-improve-the-supply-of-clinical-staff-to-the-nhs>
265. NHS England. *Prime Minister's Challenge Fund: Improving Access to General Practice. First Evaluation Report: October 2015*. NHS England; 2015.
266. NHS England. *Prime Minister's Challenge Fund: Improving Access to General Practice: Second Evaluation Report to September 2015*. NHS England; 2015.
267. NIHR CLAHRC Greater Manchester. *Greater Manchester primary care 7-day access evaluation*. 2017. <https://arc-gm.nihr.ac.uk/media/Resources/OHC/GM-Primary-Care-7-day-access-report-evaluation.pdf>
268. Burch P, Whittaker W. Exploring the impact of the national extended access scheme on patient experience of and satisfaction with general practice: an observational study using the English GP Patient Survey. *BJGP Open* 2022;6(2) doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.3399/BJGPO.2022.0013>
269. Dolton P, Pathania V. Can increased primary care access reduce demand for emergency care? Evidence from England's 7-day GP opening. *J Health Econ* 2016;49:193-208. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jhealeco.2016.05.002>
270. Ford JA, Jones AP, Wong G, et al. Weekend opening in primary care: analysis of the General Practice Patient Survey. *Br J Gen Pract* 2015;65(641):e792-8. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.3399/bjgp15X687673>
271. Crump H, Arora S, Sherlaw-Johnson C, et al. Improving access out of hours: Evaluation of extended-hours primary care access hubs. Nuffield Trust; 2019. <https://www.nuffieldtrust.org.uk/sites/default/files/2019-05/bhr3-report-b1881-rgb-3.pdf>
272. Kelly SJ, Piercy H, Ibbotson R, et al. Who attends out-of-hours general practice appointments? Analysis of a patient cohort accessing new out-of-hours units. *BMJ Open* 2018;8(6):e020308. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2017-020308>
273. Cowling TE, Harris M, Majeed A. Extended opening hours and patient experience of general practice in England: Multilevel regression analysis of a national patient

- survey. *BMJ Quality and Safety* 2017;26(5):360-71. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjqs-2016-005233>
274. O'Reilly D, Stevenson M, McCay C, et al. General practice out-of-hours service, variations in use and equality in access to a doctor: a cross-sectional study. *Br J Gen Pract* 2001;51(469):625-9.
275. Hong M, Thind A, Zaric GS, et al. The impact of improved access to after-hours primary care on emergency department and primary care utilization: A systematic review. *Health Policy* 2020;124(8):812-18. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.healthpol.2020.05.015>
276. Murphy J, Elliot M, Ravindrarajah R, et al. Investigating the impact of distance on the use of primary care extended hours. *Int J Popul Data Sci* 2021;6(1):1401. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.23889/ijpds.v6i1.1401>
277. Whittaker W, Anselmi L, Nelson P, et al. Investigation of the demand for a 7-day (extended access) primary care service: an observational study from pilot schemes in England. *BMJ Open* 2019;9(9):e028138. doi: [10.1136/bmjopen-2018-028138](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2018-028138)
278. Whittaker W, Anselmi L, Kristensen SR, et al. Associations between Extending Access to Primary Care and Emergency Department Visits: A Difference-In-Differences Analysis. *PLoS Med* 2016;13(9):e1002113. doi: [10.1371/journal.pmed.1002113](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1002113)
279. Fowler Davis S, Piercy H, Pearson S, et al. Factors affecting decisions to extend access to primary care: results of a qualitative evaluation of general practitioners' views. *BMJ Open* 2018;8(3):e019084. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2017-019084>
280. Morgan C, Beerstecher H. Satisfaction, demand, and opening hours in primary care: an observational study. *Br J Gen Pract* 2011;61(589):498-507. doi: [10.3399/bjgp11X588475](https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp11X588475)
281. BMA. Safe working in general practice: one approach to controlling workload and dealing with the resulting overspill through a locality hub model. BMA; 2016 <https://koha.kingsfund.org.uk/cgi-bin/koha/opac-detail.pl?biblionumber=123016>
282. NHS 111. 111 Online: Get help for your symptoms [webpage]. <https://111.nhs.uk/>
283. Pope C, Turnbull J, Jones J, et al. Has the NHS 111 urgent care telephone service been a success? Case study and secondary data analysis in England. *BMJ Open* 2017;7(5):e014815. doi: [10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014815](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2016-014815)
284. Goddard M. Access to health care services - an English policy perspective. *Health Econ Policy Law* 2009;4(Pt 2):195-208. doi: [10.1017/s174413310900485x](https://doi.org/10.1017/s174413310900485x)
285. Turnbull J, Pope C, Rowsell A, et al. The work, workforce, technology and organisational implications of the '111' single point of access telephone number for urgent (non-emergency) care: a mixed-methods case study. *Health Serv Deliv Res* 2014;2(3). doi: [10.3310/hsdr02030](https://doi.org/10.3310/hsdr02030)
286. Mark AL, Shepherd ID. NHS direct: managing demand for primary care? *Int J Health Plann Manage* 2004;19(1):79-91. doi: [10.1002/hpm.746](https://doi.org/10.1002/hpm.746)
287. Munro J, Nicholl J, O'Cathain A, et al. Impact of NHS direct on demand for immediate care: observational study. *BMJ* 2000;321(7254):150-3. doi: [10.1136/bmj.321.7254.150](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.321.7254.150)
288. O'Cathain A, Knowles E, Munro J, et al. Exploring the effect of changes to service provision on the use of unscheduled care in England: population surveys. *BMC Health Serv Res* 2007;7:61.
289. Brown S, Henderson E, Howse J, et al. Patient views of single number access to urgent care services. *Family Practice* 2012;29(6):713-8. doi: [10.1093/fampra/cms023](https://doi.org/10.1093/fampra/cms023)
290. Salisbury C, Trivella M, Bruster S. Demand for and supply of out of hours care from general practitioners in England and Scotland: observational study based on routinely collected data. *BMJ* 2000;320(7235):618-21. doi: [10.1136/bmj.320.7235.618](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.320.7235.618)
291. Chaudhry F, Kapoor A, Brant S. Saturday surgeries - do patients feel their needs can be met by alternative out-of-hours care? A questionnaire study. *Br J Gen Pract* 2004;54(498):47-9.

292. Florin D, Rosen R. Evaluating NHS direct - Early findings raise questions about expanding the service. *BMJ* 1999;319(7201):5-6. doi: [10.1136/bmj.319.7201.5](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.319.7201.5)
293. Campbell J, Roland M, Richards S, et al. Users' reports and evaluations of out-of-hours health care and the UK national quality requirements: a cross sectional study. *Br J Gen Pract* 2009;59(558):e8-15. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.3399/bjgp09X394815>
294. Mark AL, Shepherd ID. How has NHS Direct changed primary care provision? *J Telemed Telecare* 2003;9 Suppl 1(1):S57-9. doi: [10.1258/135763303322196367](https://doi.org/10.1258/135763303322196367)
295. Richards DA, Godfrey L, Tawfik J, et al. NHS Direct versus general practice based triage for same day appointments in primary care: cluster randomised controlled trial. *BMJ* 2004;329(7469):774. doi: [10.1136/bmj.38226.605995.55](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.38226.605995.55)
296. Pulse. GPs to access mental health crisis helpline via NHS 111. *Pulse*; 9 April 2019. <https://www.pulsetoday.co.uk/news/urgent-care/gps-to-access-mental-health-crisis-helpline-via-nhs-111/>
297. Cambridgeshire and Peterborough NHS Foundation Trust. Help in a crisis [webpage]. Cambridgeshire and Peterborough NHS Foundation Trust; no date. <https://www.cpft.nhs.uk/helpinacrisis>.
298. Barker J, Giles E, Smith J, et al. *NHS 111: Mental Health Support Service Evaluation*. Teeside University; 2020.
299. Hsu RT, Lambert PC, Dixon-Woods M, et al. Effect of NHS walk-in centre on local primary healthcare services: before and after observational study. *BMJ* 2003;326(7388):530. doi: [10.1136/bmj.326.7388.530](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.326.7388.530)
300. Chalder M, Sharp D, Moore L, et al. Impact of NHS walk-in centres on the workload of other local healthcare providers: time series analysis. *BMJ* 2003;326(7388):532-34b. doi: [10.1136/bmj.326.7388.532](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.326.7388.532)
301. Chapman JL, Zechel A, Carter YH, et al. Systematic review of recent innovations in service provision to improve access to primary care. *Br J Gen Pract* 2004;54(502):374-81.
302. Maheswaran R. Impact of NHS walk-in centres on primary care access times: ecological study. *BMJ* 2007;334(7598):838. doi: [10.1136/bmj.39122.704051.55](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.39122.704051.55)
303. Pickin DM, O'Cathain A, Fall M, et al. The impact of a general practice co-operative on accident and emergency services, patient satisfaction and GP satisfaction. *Family Practice* 2004;21(2):180-2. doi: [10.1093/fampra/cmh213](https://doi.org/10.1093/fampra/cmh213)
304. Arain M, Nicholl J, Campbell M. Patients' experience and satisfaction with GP led walk-in centres in the UK; a cross sectional study. *BMC Health Services Research* 2013;13(1):142. doi: [10.1186/1472-6963-13-142](https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6963-13-142)
305. Zhou Y, Abel G, Warren F, et al. Do difficulties in accessing in-hours primary care predict higher use of out-of-hours GP services? Evidence from an English National Patient Survey. *Emerg Med J* 2015;32(5):373-8. doi: [10.1136/emered-2013-203451](https://doi.org/10.1136/emered-2013-203451)
306. Jessopp L, Beck I, Hollins L, et al. Changing the pattern out of hours: a survey of general practice cooperatives. *BMJ* 1997;314(7075):199-200.
307. Warren FC, Abel G, Lyratzopoulos G, et al. Characteristics of service users and provider organisations associated with experience of out of hours general practitioner care in England: population based cross sectional postal questionnaire survey. *BMJ* 2015;350:h2040. doi: [10.1136/bmj.h2040](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.h2040)
308. Salisbury C. Observational study of a general practice out of hours cooperative: measures of activity. *BMJ* 1997;314(7075):182-6. doi: [10.1136/bmj.314.7075.182](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.314.7075.182)
309. Thompson K, Parahoo K, Farrell B. An evaluation of a GP out-of-hours service: meeting patient expectations of care. *Journal of Evaluation in Clinical Practice* 2004;10(3):467-74. doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2753.2004.00446.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2753.2004.00446.x)
310. Shipman C, Dale J. Responding to out-of-hours demand: the extent and nature of urgent need. *Family Practice* 1999;16(1):23-7. doi: [10.1093/fampra/16.1.23](https://doi.org/10.1093/fampra/16.1.23)
311. Richards SH, Pound P, Dickens A, et al. Exploring users' experiences of accessing out-of-hours primary medical care services. *BMJ Quality and Safety* 2007;16(6):469-77.

312. Richards SH, Winder R, Seamark D, et al. Accessing out-of-hours care following implementation of the GMS contract: an observational study. *Br J Gen Pract* 2008;58(550):331-8. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.3399/bjgp08X280191>
313. Murphy D. Quick guide: improving access to urgent treatment centres using the Directory of Services. NHS England and NHS Improvement; 2019. <https://www.england.nhs.uk/wp-content/uploads/2019/08/quick-guide-improving-access-to-utc-using-dos.pdf>
314. Department of Health. *A responsive and high-quality local NHS. The primary care progress report*. DoH; 2004.
315. McKinley RK, Cragg DK, Hastings AM, et al. Comparison of out of hours care provided by patients' own general practitioners and commercial deputising services: a randomised controlled trial. II: The outcome of care. *BMJ* 1997;314(7075):190-3. doi: [10.1136/bmj.314.7075.190](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.314.7075.190)
316. Dixon RA, Williams BT. Patient satisfaction with general practitioner deputising services. *BMJ* 1988;297(6662):1519-22. doi: [10.1136/bmj.297.6662.1519](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.297.6662.1519)
317. Turnbull J, Pope C, Martin D, et al. Do telephones overcome geographical barriers to general practice out-of-hours services? Mixed-methods study of parents with young children. *J Health Serv Res Policy* 2010;15(1):21-7. doi: [10.1258/jhsrp.2009.009023](https://doi.org/10.1258/jhsrp.2009.009023)
318. Coster J, Nicholl J, O'Cathain A, et al. User satisfaction with commuter walk-in centres. *Br J Gen Pract* 2009;59(569):390-3. doi: [10.3399/bjgp09X473169](https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp09X473169)
319. O'Cathain A, Coster J, Salisbury C, et al. Do walk-in centres for commuters work? A mixed methods evaluation. *Br J Gen Pract* 2009;59(569):e383-9. doi: [10.3399/bjgp09X473150](https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp09X473150)
320. Lattimer V, George S, Thompson F, et al. Safety and effectiveness of nurse telephone consultation in out of hours primary care: randomised controlled trial. The South Wiltshire Out of Hours Project (SWOOP) Group. *BMJ* 1998;317(7165):1054-9. doi: [10.1136/bmj.317.7165.1054](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.317.7165.1054)
321. Brooke S. Boosting patient access. *Nursing Times* 2002;98(20).
322. Cooper A, Davies F, Edwards M, et al. The impact of general practitioners working in or alongside emergency departments: a rapid realist review. *BMJ Open* 2019;9(4):e024501. doi: [10.1136/bmjopen-2018-024501](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2018-024501)
323. McFadzean IJ, Edwards M, Davies F, et al. Realist analysis of whether emergency departments with primary care services generate 'provider-induced demand'. *BMC Emerg Med* 2022;22(1):155. doi: [10.1186/s12873-022-00709-2](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12873-022-00709-2)
324. Anderson H, Scantlebury A, Leggett H, et al. Perspectives of GPs working in or alongside emergency departments in England: qualitative findings from the GPs and Emergency Departments Study. *Br J Gen Pract* 2022;72(723):e764-72. doi: [10.3399/BJGP.2021.0713](https://doi.org/10.3399/BJGP.2021.0713)
325. Robson J, Dostal I, Sheikh A, et al. The NHS Health Check in England: an evaluation of the first 4 years. *BMJ Open* 2016;6(1):e008840. doi: [10.1136/bmjopen-2015-008840](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2015-008840)
326. Chang KC, Lee JT, Vamos EP, et al. Impact of the National Health Service Health Check on cardiovascular disease risk: a difference-in-differences matching analysis. *CMAJ* 2016;188(10):E228-e38. doi: [10.1503/cmaj.151201](https://doi.org/10.1503/cmaj.151201)
327. Alborz A. The role of health check programmes in improving access to mainstream NHS healthcare services for people with learning disabilities. *National Primary Care Research & Development Centre*; 2005. <https://research.manchester.ac.uk/en/publications/the-role-of-health-check-programmes-in-improving-access-to-mainst>
328. Baker R, Bankart MJ, Murtagh GM. Do the Quality and Outcomes Framework patient experience indicators reward practices that offer improved access? *Br J Gen Pract* 2009;59(565):e267-72. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.3399/bjgp09X453792>
329. Campbell SM, Kontopantelis E, Reeves D, et al. Changes in patient experiences of primary care during health service reforms in England between 2003 and 2007. *Ann Fam Med* 2010;8(6):499-506. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1370/afm.1145>

330. Martin DM, Roy A, Wells MB. Health gain through health checks: improving access to primary health care for people with intellectual disability. *J Intellect Disabil Res* 1997;41(Pt 5):401-8. doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2788.1997.tb00727.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2788.1997.tb00727.x)
331. BMA. Enhanced services GP practices can seek funding for [webpage]. Updated 3 March 2023. <https://www.bma.org.uk/advice-and-support/gp-practices/gp-service-provision/enhanced-services-gp-practices-can-see-funding-for>
332. Kumar G, Quigley J, Singh M, et al. Do local enhanced services in primary care improve outcomes? Results from a literature review. *Quality in Primary Care* 2014;22(3):157-69.
333. NHS England. *Investment and Evolution: A five-year framework for GP contract reform to implement The NHS Long Term Plan*. NHS England; 2019.
334. NHS Cambridgeshire and Peterborough. Admissions avoidance services [webpage]. <https://www.cpics.org.uk/admissions-avoidance/>
335. Abrams R, Wong G, Mahtani KR, et al. Delegating home visits in general practice: a realist review on the impact on GP workload and patient care. *Br J Gen Pract* 2020;70(695):e412-e20. doi: [10.3399/bjgp20X710153](https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp20X710153) . <https://www.goodthingsfoundation.org/what-we-do/learn-my-way/>
336. Underwood B, Rowland P, Slann M, Cooke M. A joint emergency response for those at risk of acute hospital admission in Cambridgeshire. *International Journal of Integrated Care* 2018;18:180.
337. Vestesson E, Shen J, Pariza P, Wolters A. *The impact of Extensive Care Service and Enhanced Primary Care in Fylde Coast*. Findings from the Improvement Analytics Unit. The Health Foundation; 2020.
338. Mitchell S, Hillman S, Rapley D, et al. GP home visits: essential patient care or disposable relic? *Br J Gen Pract* 2020;70(695):306-07. doi: [10.3399/bjgp20X710345](https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp20X710345)
339. NHS England. Enhanced health in care homes [webpage]. <https://www.england.nhs.uk/community-health-services/ehch/>
340. Gage H, Dickinson A, Victor C, et al. Integrated working between residential care homes and primary care: a survey of care homes in England. *BMC Geriatrics* 2012;12(1):71. doi: [10.1186/1471-2318-12-71](https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2318-12-71)
341. Lloyd T, Wolters A. *The impact of providing enhanced support for care home residents in Rushcliffe*. The Health Foundation; 2017.
342. Sherlaw-Johnson C, Crump H, Curry N, et al. *Transforming health care in nursing homes: An evaluation of a dedicated primary care service in outer east London*. London. Nuffield Trust; 2018.
343. McNeill S, O'Donovan D, Hart N. Access to healthcare for people experiencing homelessness in the UK and Ireland: a scoping review. *BMC Health Services Research* 2022;22(1):910. doi: [10.1186/s12913-022-08265-y](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-022-08265-y)
344. Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Clinical Commissioning Group (CCG). Choose well this winter [webpage] 23 October 2013. <https://www.milton.org.uk/news/2013/10/choose-well-this-winter/2013>
345. Power E, Wardle J. Change in public awareness of symptoms and perceived barriers to seeing a doctor following Be Clear on Cancer campaigns in England. *Br J Cancer* 2015;112 Suppl 1:S22-6. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1038/bjc.2015.32>
346. Llanwarne N, Newbould J, Burt J, et al. Wasting the doctor's time? A video-elicitation interview study with patients in primary care. *Social Science & Medicine* 2017;176:113-22. doi: [10.1016/j.socscimed.2017.01.025](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2017.01.025)
347. Melia J, Coulson P, Moss S, Coleman D. Effects of a prostate awareness pilot on GP consultations and PSA requests. *Family Practice* 2010;27(1):69-76. doi: [10.1093/fampra/cmp081](https://doi.org/10.1093/fampra/cmp081)
348. Gann B. NHS Direct Online: a multi-channel eHealth service. *Stud Health Technol Inform* 2004;100:164-8.
349. NHS England. General Practice Inclusive Access Routes – Summary Evaluation of a pilot in Humber and North Yorkshire [webpage]. Updated 13 January 2023.

- <https://www.england.nhs.uk/long-read/general-practice-inclusive-access-routes-summary-evaluation-of-a-pilot-in-humber-and-north-yorkshire/>
350. Department of Health and Social Care. General Practice Access Routes [webpage]. 28 October 2022. <https://campaignresources.dhsc.gov.uk/campaigns/help-us-help-you-primary-care/general-practice-access-routes/#:~:text=There%20are%20different%20ways%20that,aware%20may%20use%20it%20reluctantly>
351. Jones D, West R, Lester C. Evaluation of changes in primary health care availability and provision from the patient perspective. *J Eval Clin Pract* 1997;3(4):295-301. doi: [10.1046/j.1365-2753.1997.t01-1-00006.x](https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2753.1997.t01-1-00006.x)
352. Department of Health. *Building on the best: choice, responsiveness and equity in the NHS*. DoH; 2003. https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/5a7f8647ed915d74e33f6f84/dh_4068400.pdf
353. NHS England. *NHS Operational Planning and Contracting Guidance 2017–2019*. NHS England; 2016.
354. Leach B, Parkinson S, Gkousis E, et al. Digital Facilitation to Support Patient Access to Web-Based Primary Care Services: Scoping Literature Review. *J Med Internet Res* 2022;24(7):e33911. doi: [10.2196/33911](https://doi.org/10.2196/33911)
355. Learn My Way. The NHS website: a how to guide 2022. <https://www.learnmyway.com/courses/nhs-website-a-how-to-guide/>
356. Rogers A, Mead N. More than technology and access: primary care patients' views on the use and non-use of health information in the Internet age. *Health Soc Care Community* 2004;12(2):102-10. doi: [10.1111/j.0966-0410.2004.00473.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.0966-0410.2004.00473.x)
357. Francis NA. Effect of using an interactive booklet about childhood respiratory tract infections in primary care consultations on reconsulting and antibiotic prescribing: a cluster randomised controlled trial. *BMJ* 2009;339:b2885. doi: [10.1136/bmj.b2885](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.b2885)
358. Liddell C, Rae G, Brown TR, et al. Giving patients an audiotape of their GP consultation: a randomised controlled trial. *Br J Gen Pract* 2004;54(506):667-72.
359. Hamilton W. The effect of patient self-completion agenda forms on prescribing and adherence in general practice: a randomized controlled trial. *Family Practice* 2007;24(1):77-83. doi: [10.1093/fampra/cml057](https://doi.org/10.1093/fampra/cml057)
360. Little P, Dorward M, Warner G, et al. Randomised controlled trial of effect of leaflets to empower patients in consultations in primary care. *BMJ* 2004;328(7437):441. doi: [10.1136/bmj.37999.716157.44](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.37999.716157.44)
361. Middleton JF, McKinley RK, Gillies CL. Effect of patient completed agenda forms and doctors' education about the agenda on the outcome of consultations: randomised controlled trial. *BMJ* 2006;332(7552):1238-42. doi: [10.1136/bmj.38841.444861.7C](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.38841.444861.7C)
362. Marriott A, Turner S, Giraud-Saunders A. Improving access to screening for people with learning disabilities. *Nursing Standard* 2014;29(9):37-42. doi: [10.7748/ns.29.9.37.e9123](https://doi.org/10.7748/ns.29.9.37.e9123)
363. Harrison R, Williamson J. Promoters of equal access. *Nursing Standard* 2010;25(9):20-1. doi: [10.7748/ns.25.9.20.s26](https://doi.org/10.7748/ns.25.9.20.s26)
364. Collison K. Everyone is welcome in general practice [webpage]. *NHS England*; 17 February 2021. <https://www.england.nhs.uk/blog/everyone-is-welcome-in-general-practice/>
365. Moore P. The Self Care Toolkit... is for people who live with persistent health conditions. 2014.
366. Murphy LA, Harrington P, Taylor SJ, et al. Clinical-effectiveness of self-management interventions in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: An overview of reviews. *Chron Respir Dis* 2017;14(3):276-88. doi: [10.1177/1479972316687208](https://doi.org/10.1177/1479972316687208)
367. Wilson P. Patient empowerment in primary care: evaluating the expert patient. *British Journal of Community Nursing* 2005;10(2):81. doi: [10.12968/bjcn.2005.10.2.17490](https://doi.org/10.12968/bjcn.2005.10.2.17490)

368. Rogers A, Kennedy A, Bower P, et al. The United Kingdom Expert Patients Programme: results and implications from a national evaluation. *The Medical Journal of Australia* 2008;189(S10):S21-4. doi: [10.5694/j.1326-5377.2008.tb02205.x](https://doi.org/10.5694/j.1326-5377.2008.tb02205.x)
369. NHS England. Better Health: Every Mind Matters [webpage]. <https://www.nhs.uk/every-mind-matters/>
370. Babbage CM, Jackson GM, Davies EB, et al. Self-help Digital Interventions Targeted at Improving Psychological Well-being in Young People With Perceived or Clinically Diagnosed Reduced Well-being: Systematic Review. *JMIR Mental Health* 2022;9(8):e25716. doi: [10.2196/25716](https://doi.org/10.2196/25716)
371. Kerr R, Grainger A, Messer C, et al. Telephone announcements encouraging common cold self-management reduce demand for general practice appointments. *BMJ Innov* 2019;5(1):60-64. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1136/bmjinnov-2018-000328>
372. NHS 111. How NHS 111 online works [webpage]. <https://www.nhs.uk/nhs-services/urgent-and-emergency-care-services/when-to-use-111/how-nhs-111-online-works/>
373. Turner J, Knowles E, Simpson R, et al. Impact of NHS 111 Online on the NHS 111 telephone service and urgent care system: a mixed-methods study. *Health Services and Delivery Research* 2021;9(21).
374. Isabel Healthcare. Isabel - The Symptom Checker [webpage] <https://symptomchecker.isabelhealthcare.com/>
375. RCGP. Artificial Intelligence and Primary Care. RCGP; 2019. https://elearning.rcgp.org.uk/pluginfile.php/174191/mod_book/chapter/504/artificial-intelligence-and-primary-care-jan-2019.pdf
376. NHS England. *NHS Plan 2000*. NHS England; 2000.
377. Hui J, Johnson L. iPhone apps as an adjunct to supervised physiotherapy for pelvic floor muscle training in women: a content analysis. *Journal of Pelvic, Obstetric and Gynaecological Physiotherapy*, 2015;116: 38-50.
378. Cobos-Campos R, Cordero-Guevara JA, Apiñaniz A, et al. The Impact of Digital Health on Smoking Cessation. *Interact J Med Res* 2023;12:e41182. doi: [10.2196/41182](https://doi.org/10.2196/41182)
379. Ryan D, Price D, Musgrave SD, et al. Clinical and cost effectiveness of mobile phone supported self monitoring of asthma: multicentre randomised controlled trial. *BMJ* 2012;344:e1756. doi: [10.1136/bmj.e1756](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.e1756)
380. Jeffrey B, Bagala M, Creighton A, et al. Mobile phone applications and their use in the self-management of Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus: a qualitative study among app users and non-app users. *Diabetology & Metabolic Syndrome* 2019;11(1):84. doi: [10.1186/s13098-019-0480-4](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13098-019-0480-4)
381. Allen C, Vassilev I, Kennedy A, et al. Long-Term Condition Self-Management Support in Online Communities: A Meta-Synthesis of Qualitative Papers. *Journal of Medical Internet Research* 2016;18(3):e61. doi: [10.2196/jmir.5260](https://doi.org/10.2196/jmir.5260)
382. De Simoni A, Griffiths CJ, Taylor SJ. Improving access to primary care: can online communities contribute? *Br J Gen Pract* 2016;66(652):559. doi: [10.3399/bjgp16X687721](https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp16X687721)
383. De Simoni A, Shanks A, Balasooriya-Smeekens C, et al. Stroke survivors and their families receive information and support on an individual basis from an online forum: descriptive analysis of a population of 2348 patients and qualitative study of a sample of participants. *BMJ Open* 2016;6(4):e010501. doi: [10.1136/bmjopen-2015-010501](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2015-010501)
384. Treadgold BM, Muller I, Teasdale E, et al. Parental experiences of eczema advice in online parenting forums: a qualitative interview study. *BJGP Open* 2023;7(2):BJGPO.2022.0155. doi: [10.3399/BJGPO.2022.0155](https://doi.org/10.3399/BJGPO.2022.0155)
385. Walley P, Found P, Williams S. Failure demand: a concept evaluation in UK primary care. *International Journal of Health Care Quality Assurance* 2019;32(1):21-33. doi: [10.1108/ijhcqa-08-2017-0159](https://doi.org/10.1108/ijhcqa-08-2017-0159)

386. Goyder EC, Blank L, Ellis E, et al. Reducing inequalities in access to health care: developing a toolkit through action research. *Quality and Safety in Health Care* 2005;14(5):336-9. doi: [10.1136/qshc.2005.013821](https://doi.org/10.1136/qshc.2005.013821)
387. Potter L, Stone T, Connell F, et al. Bridging gaps: improving access to primary care for and with marginalised patients. *Br J Gen Pract* 2023;73(suppl 1) doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.3399/bjgp23X733533>
388. Raines A, O'Connor T. City Health Conference: Improving Access to Primary Care Among the Homeless Population of Southall. *Br J Gen Pract* 2019;69(685):394. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.3399/bjgp19X704993>
389. Department of Health. *High Quality Care For All - NHS Next Stage Review Interim Report*. DoH; 2008. <https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/5a7c3a5b40f0b67d0b11fbaf/7432.pdf>
390. Sherwood KB, Lewis GJ. Accessing health care in a rural area: an evaluation of a voluntary medical transport scheme in the English Midlands. *Health Place* 2000;6(4):337-50. doi: [10.1016/s1353-8292\(00\)00015-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/s1353-8292(00)00015-0)
391. Jacob A, Anderson RA. A move to a health centre: The effect on home, visiting, repeat prescribing and patients' choice of transport. *J R Coll Gen Pract* 1984;34(264):381-85.
392. Ford JA, Jones AP, Wong G, et al. Improving primary care Access in Context and Theory (I-ACT trial): a theory-informed randomised cluster feasibility trial using a realist perspective. *Trials* 2019;20(1):193. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13063-019-3299-2>
393. Rivas Velarde M, Jagoe C, Cuculick J. Video Relay Interpretation and Overcoming Barriers in Health Care for Deaf Users: Scoping Review. *Journal of Medical Internet Research* 2022;24(6):e32439. doi: [10.2196/32439](https://doi.org/10.2196/32439)
394. Johnson MJ, Evans DG, Mohamed Z, et al. The development and evaluation of alternative communication strategies to facilitate interactions with Somali refugees in primary care: a preliminary study. *Inform Prim Care* 2006;14(3):183-9.
395. Shepperd S, Coulter A, Farmer A. Using interactive videos in general practice to inform patients about treatment choices: a pilot study. *Family Practice* 1995;12(4):443-7. doi: [10.1093/fampra/12.4.443](https://doi.org/10.1093/fampra/12.4.443)
396. NHS England. Improving the quality of interpreting in primary care [webpage]. 2015. <https://www.england.nhs.uk/primary-care/primary-care-commissioning/interpreting/improving-the-quality-of-interpreting-in-primary-care/>
397. Matras Y, Gaiser L. Language provisions in access to primary and hospital care in central Manchester. University of Manchester; 2016.
398. Gerrish K, Chau R, Sobowale A, et al. Bridging the language barrier: the use of interpreters in primary care nursing. *Health Soc Care Community* 2004;12(5):407-13.
399. Greenhalgh T, Robb N, Scambler G. Communicative and strategic action in interpreted consultations in primary health care: a Habermasian perspective. *Social Science & Medicine* 2006;63(5):1170-87. doi: [10.1016/j.socscimed.2006.03.033](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.socscimed.2006.03.033)
400. Knights F, Carter J, Deal A, et al. Impact of COVID-19 on migrants' access to primary care and implications for vaccine roll-out: a national qualitative study. *Br J Gen Pract* 2021;71(709):e583-e95. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.3399/BJGP.2021.0028>
401. Dineen-Griffin S, Garcia-Cardenas V, Williams K, et al. Helping patients help themselves: A systematic review of self-management support strategies in primary health care practice. *PLoS One* 2019;14(8):e0220116. doi: [10.1371/journal.pone.0220116](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0220116)
402. Melville CA, Cooper SA, Morrison J, et al. The outcomes of an intervention study to reduce the barriers experienced by people with intellectual disabilities accessing primary health care services. *J Intellect Disabil Res* 2006;50(Pt 1):11-7. doi: [10.1111/j.1365-2788.2005.00719.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2788.2005.00719.x)
403. Barker I, Steventon A, Williamson R, et al. Self-management capability in patients with long-term conditions is associated with reduced healthcare utilisation across a whole

- health economy: cross-sectional analysis of electronic health records. *BMJ Quality & Safety* 2018;27(12):989-99. doi: [10.1136/bmjqs-2017-007635](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjqs-2017-007635)
404. NHS Scotland. *The evidence base for health literacy interventions: Summary Overview*. NHS Scotland; 2014.
405. The Health Foundation. *Helping people share decision making*. The Health Foundation; 2012.
406. Wilson SR, Strub P, Buist AS, et al. Shared treatment decision making improves adherence and outcomes in poorly controlled asthma. *American Journal of Respiratory Critical Care Medicine* 2010;181(6):566-77. doi: [10.1164/rccm.200906-0907OC](https://doi.org/10.1164/rccm.200906-0907OC)
407. Jacobs S, Davies N, Butterick KL, et al. Shared decision-making for children with medical complexity in community health services: a scoping review. *BMJ Paediatr Open* 2023;7(1) doi: [10.1136/bmjpo-2023-001866](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjpo-2023-001866)
408. Coronado-Vázquez V, Canet-Fajas C, Delgado-Marroquin MT, et al. Interventions to facilitate shared decision-making using decision aids with patients in Primary Health Care: A systematic review. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2020;99(32):e21389. doi: [10.1097/MD.00000000000021389](https://doi.org/10.1097/MD.00000000000021389)
409. Martin JE, Watson J, Barnes RK. Shared decision making about blood tests: secondary analysis of video-recorded primary care consultations. *Br J Gen Pract* 2020;70(694):e339-e47. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.3399/bjgp20X709409>
410. King E, Taylor J, Williams R, et al. *The MAGIC programme: evaluation*. The Health Foundation; 2013.
411. Barnes RK, Cramer H, Thomas C, et al. A consultation-level intervention to improve care of frequently attending patients: A cluster randomised controlled feasibility trial. *BJGP Open* 2019;3(1) doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.3399/bjgpopen18X101623>
412. Summers RH, Moore M, Ekberg S, et al. Soliciting additional concerns in the primary care consultation and the utility of a brief communication intervention to aid solicitation: A qualitative study. *Patient Education Counseling* 2016;99(5):724-32. doi: [10.1016/j.pec.2015.12.005](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pec.2015.12.005)
413. Little P, White P, Kelly J, et al. Randomised controlled trial of a brief intervention targeting predominantly non-verbal communication in general practice consultations. *Br J Gen Pract* 2015;65(635):e351-6. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.3399/bjgp15X685237>
414. Lewin SA, Skea ZC, Entwistle V, et al. Interventions for providers to promote a patient-centred approach in clinical consultations. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2001(4):CD003267. doi: [10.1002/14651858.CD003267](https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD003267)
415. Longo M. Involving patients in primary care consultations: assessing preferences using discrete choice experiments. *Br J Gen Pract* 2006;56(522):35-42.
416. BMA. Out-of-area registrations and patient choice [webpage]. BMA; updated 7 September 2020. <https://www.bma.org.uk/advice-and-support/gp-practices/managing-your-practice-list/out-of-area-gp-registrations-and-patient-choice>
417. Mays N, Eastmure E, Erens B, et al. *Evaluation of the choice of GP practice pilot, 2012-13. Final report: Appendices*. London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine, PIRU; 2014. <https://piru.ac.uk/assets/files/GP%20Choice%20Pilot%20Evaluation-Appendices.pdf>
418. Tan S, Erens B, Wright M, et al. Patients' experiences of the choice of GP practice pilot, 2012/2013: a mixed methods evaluation. *BMJ Open* 2015;5(2):e006090. doi: [10.1136/bmjopen-2014-006090](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2014-006090)
419. Salisbury C, Quigley A, Hex N, et al. Private Video Consultation Services and the Future of Primary Care. *Journal of Medical Internet Research* 2020;22(10):e19415. doi: [10.2196/19415](https://doi.org/10.2196/19415)
420. Quigley A, Hex N, Aznar C. *Evaluation of Babylon GP at Hand: final evaluation report*. IPSOS MORI; 2019. <http://allcatsrgrey.org.uk/wp/download/informatics/Evaluation-of-Babylon-GP-at-Hand-Final-Report.pdf>

421. NHS England. When you need to pay towards NHS care [webpage]. Updated 22 May 2023. <https://www.nhs.uk/nhs-services/help-with-health-costs/when-you-need-to-pay-towards-nhs-care/>
422. Department of Health. *Our health, our care, our say: a new direction for community services*. DoH; 2006. <https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/5a7c2baee5274a25a9140eab/6737.pdf>
423. NHS England. *Next steps on the NHS five year forward view*. NHS; 2017.
424. NHS England. *Our plan for improving access for patients and supporting general practice*. NHS England; 2021. <https://www.england.nhs.uk/coronavirus/wp-content/uploads/sites/52/2021/10/BW999-our-plan-for-improving-access-and-supporting-general-practice-oct-21.pdf>
425. Hossain MN. Studying and reducing DNAs to improve access. *Br J Gen Pract* 2014;64(619):70-1. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.3399/bjgp14X677059>
426. Pulse. How a workflow administrator saved us 40 minutes a day. *Pulse*; 8 January 2018. <https://www.pulsetoday.co.uk/resource/contract/how-a-workflow-administrator-saved-us-40-minutes-a-day/>
427. NHS England. National General Practice Improvement Programme [webpage]. <https://www.england.nhs.uk/gp/national-general-practice-improvement-programme/>
428. Willis M, Duckworth P, Coulter A, et al. Qualitative and quantitative approach to assess of the potential for automating administrative tasks in general practice. *BMJ Open* 2020;10(6):e032412. doi: [10.1136/bmjopen-2019-032412](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2019-032412)
429. Department of Health and Social Care. *Accelerating Artificial Intelligence in health and care: results from a state of the nation survey*. DHSC; 2018. <https://thehealthinnovationnetwork.co.uk/wp-content/uploads/2019/07/AHSN-Network-AI-Report.pdf>
430. Mistry P. Artificial intelligence in primary care. *Br J Gen Pract* 2019;69(686):422-23. doi: [10.3399/bjgp19X705137](https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp19X705137)
431. Cheshire and Merseyside Health and Care Partnership. *Consensus on the Primary and Secondary Care Interface*. NHS Cheshire and Merseyside; 2022. <https://www.cheshireandmerseyside.nhs.uk/posts/consensus-on-the-primary-and-secondary-care-interface>
432. Colleges AoMR. General practice and secondary care: Working better together. In: Colleges AoMR, ed. London,, 2023.
433. Department of Health and Social Care. *Bureaucracy busting concordat: principles to reduce unnecessary bureaucracy and administrative burdens on general practice*. DHSC; 2022 <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/bureaucracy-busting-concordat-principles-to-reduce-unnecessary-bureaucracy-and-administrative-burdens-on-general-practice/bureaucracy-busting-concordat-principles-to-reduce-unnecessary-bureaucracy-and-administrative-burdens-on-general-practice>
434. Forbes LJ, Forbes H, Sutton M, et al. Changes in patient experience associated with growth and collaboration in general practice: observational study using data from the UK GP Patient Survey. *Br J Gen Pract* 2020;70(701):e906-e15. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.3399/bjgp20X713429>
435. Morciano M, Checkland K, Hammond J, et al. Variability in size and characteristics of primary care networks in England: observational study. *Br J Gen Pract* 2020;70(701):e899-e905. doi: [10.3399/bjgp20X713441](https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp20X713441)
436. Lockwood AM, Proulx J, Hill M, et al. Using safety culture results to guide the merger of four general practices in the UK. *BMJ Open Qual* 2020;9(1). doi: [10.1136/bmjopen-2019-000860](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmjopen-2019-000860)
437. Sidhu M, Pollard J, Sussex J. Vertical integration of GP practices with acute hospitals in England and Wales: rapid evaluation. *Health Soc Care Deliv Res* 2022;10(17).
438. Coleman A, Checkland K, McDermott I, et al. The limits of market-based reforms in the NHS: the case of alternative providers in primary care. *BMC Health Serv Res* 2013;13(1):S3. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1472-6963-13-S1-S3>

439. Iacobucci G. Sixty GP surgeries set to transfer to new private provider. *BMJ* 2023;383:2926. doi: [10.1136/bmj.p2926](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.p2926)
440. Heins E, Pollock AM, Price D. The commercialisation of GP services: a survey of APMS contracts and new GP ownership. *Br J Gen Pract* 2009;59(567):e339-43. doi: [10.3399/bjgp09X472638](https://doi.org/10.3399/bjgp09X472638)
441. Parr E. Private company to take over family-run GP practice in APMS contract. *Pulse*; 24 February 2023.
442. Addink RW, Bankart MJ, Murtagh GM, et al. Limited impact on patient experience of access of a pay for performance scheme in England in the first year. *European Journal of General Practice* 2011;17(2):81-6. doi: <https://dx.doi.org/10.3109/13814788.2011.556720>
443. Beck M, Toms JS, Mannion R, et al. *The Role and Effectiveness of Public–Private Partnerships (NHS LIFT) in the Development of Enhanced Primary Care Premises and Services*. National Institute for Health Research Service Delivery and Organisation programme; 2010
444. NHS England. Estates and Technology Transformation Fund [webpage]. <https://www.england.nhs.uk/gp/infrastructure/estates-technology/>
445. Ellins J, Ham C, Parker H. Opening up the primary medical care market. *BMJ* 2009;338:b1127. doi: [10.1136/bmj.b1127](https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.b1127)
446. Ellins JL, Ham CJ, Parker H. *Choice and competition in Primary Care: Much Ado About Nothing?* University of Birmingham; 2008.
447. Monitor. *Improving GP services: commissioners and patient choice*. Monitor; 2015. <https://www.gov.uk/government/publications/improving-gp-services-commissioners-and-patient-choice>
448. Goddard M, Gravelle H, Hole A, et al. Where did all the GPs go? Increasing supply and geographical equity in England and Scotland. *J Health Serv Res Policy* 2010;15(1):28-35. doi: [10.1258/jhsrp.2009.009003](https://doi.org/10.1258/jhsrp.2009.009003)
449. Sheaff R, Halliday J, Øvretveit J, et al. Integration and continuity of primary care: polyclinics and alternatives, a patient-centred analysis of how organisation constrains care coordination. *Health Serv Deliv Res* 2015;3(35). doi: [10.3310/hsdr03350](https://doi.org/10.3310/hsdr03350)
450. NHS England. *Five Year Forward View*. NHS England; 2014.